# YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC COMPANY



49 Yankee Road, Rowe, Massachusetts 01367

April 13, 2010 BYR 2010-012

Dave Howland Department of Environmental Protection Western Regional Office 436 Dwight Street Springfield, MA 01103

Subject: Post-Closure Maintenance and Monitoring Report

This letter serves as the Post-Closure Maintenance and Monitoring Report that documents the results of the monitoring required by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), as documented in the "Filed" Deed Notices for the Southeast Construction Fill Area (SCFA) and the Beneficial Use Determination (BUD) Area and the SCFA Closure Certification Report Financial Assurance Mechanism review. This report documents the results of the following post-closure monitoring:

- Groundwater Monitoring (Documented in Attachment 1)
- Surface Water Monitoring (Documented in Attachment 1)
- Soil Stability Monitoring Settlement, Cracks and Erosion and Vegetative Cover (Documented in Attachment 2)
- Southeast construction Fill Area (SCFA) Financial Assurance Mechanism (FAM) Review (Documented in Attachment 3)

Should you require additional information please contact me at 413-424-5261 Extension 303.

Sincerely,

YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC CO

M. Mitchell Robert Mitchell

ISFSI Manager

c w/encl.:

E. Waterman, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1
R. Gallagher, Director, MA DPH
L. Hanson, MA DEP
CAN Business Office
Public Repository at the Greenfield Community College
Franklin Regional Council of Governments (FRCOG)

## Attachment 1

Post-Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring

## POST CLOSURE GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER MONITORING REPORT, SPRING 2010

## **YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION**

Prepared for: Yankee Atomic Electric Company Yankee Nuclear Power Station 49 Yankee Road Rowe, Massachusetts

Prepared by: MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc. 511 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

April 2010

Project No. 3617087152



## Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report, Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station

**Prepared for:** 

Yankee Atomic Electric Company Yankee Nuclear Power Station 49 Yankee Road Rowe, Massachusetts

**Prepared by:** 

MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc. 511 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

April 14, 2010

Project Number 3617087152

## Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report, Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Prepared for:

Yankee Atomic Electric Company Yankee Nuclear Power Station 49 Yankee Road Rowe, Massachusetts

Prepared by:

MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc. 511 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

April 14, 2010

Project Number 3617087152

Kerry Tull, L.S.P. Senior Principal

Eugene Shephard Senior Project Manager

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	.1
2.0	BACKGROUND	.1
3.0	SCOPE OF WORK	.2
4.0	FINDINGS	.2
4.1	RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS	.2
4.2	CHEMICAL PARAMETERS	.3
5.0	CONCLUSIONS	.4
6.0	RECOMMENDATIONS	.5
7.0	ACRONYMS	.6
8.0	REFERENCES	.7

APPENDIX A FIELD DATA RECORDS

APPENDIX B	ANALYTICAL DATA – MARCH 2010
APPENDIX B-	-1 RADIOLOGICAL DATA
APPENDIX B-	-2 CHEMICAL DATA
APPENDIX B-	-3 VALIDATION CHECKLISTS

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1 Sample Locations

## List of Tables

- Table 1Groundwater Monitoring Program Summary
- Table 2Field Parameter Measurements
- Table 3Summary of Tritium Analytical Data and Trend Analyses
- Table 4Summary of Arsenic in Monitoring Well MW-101A
- Table 5
   Summary of Chemical Data from SCFA Monitoring Wells
- Table 6
   Summary of Chemical Data for SCFA Surface Water Locations

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc. (MACTEC) has been contracted by Yankee Nuclear Power Station (YNPS) to conduct the Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Program at their site, located at 49 Yankee Road in Rowe, Massachusetts.

YNPS completed its decommissioning in 2007, under the oversight of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). However, as part of the closure process, ongoing groundwater and surface water monitoring is still required under the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP). This work is to demonstrate that the groundwater is in compliance with the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) and for post closure monitoring of the Beneficial Use Determination (BUD) Area and the Southeast Construction Fill Area (SCFA). This report presents the findings from samples collected in March 2010 in support of the site closure requirements under the MCP.

### 2.0 BACKGROUND

Through the site closure process, a comprehensive investigation was conducted to characterize environmental conditions and to develop the conceptual site model, not only to identify source areas and impacted media, but to also describe the fate and transport of both chemicals and radionuclides in soils, groundwater, and surface water. These findings have been published in numerous reports and have achieved the appropriate regulatory approvals. The conceptual site model for groundwater at YNPS was published in the Final Groundwater Conditions Report, submitted to the NRC on February 15, 2007 (YNPS, 2007).

As part of the decommissioning project, 81 groundwater monitoring wells were installed to characterize the hydrogeology, and groundwater quality. Currently there are 58 wells that remain on site. Of these wells, 12 groundwater monitoring wells were sampled in March 2010 to demonstrate compliance with the MCP and to support post closure monitoring.

### **3.0 SCOPE OF WORK**

Groundwater monitoring for closure under the License Termination Plan (LTP) has been completed. However, groundwater and surface water monitoring is still required to reach closure under the MassDEP and to support post closure monitoring. In keeping with this goal this program was completed in accordance with the MassDEP-approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan to Support Closure under the MCP (ERM, 2007) as well as the Phase II - Comprehensive Site Assessment Report (MassDEP, April 08, 2009).

The sampling program included the sampling of 12 groundwater monitoring wells and nine surface water sample locations. The sampling program is summarized in Table 1. The sampling locations are shown on Figure 1. All groundwater samples were collected in accordance with Low Stress (Low Flow) Purging and Sampling guidance (USEPA, 1996a) and in accordance with the Health and Safety Plan (MACTEC, 2006). Field data records are presented in Appendix A, and a summary of the field data parameters is presented in Table 2.

The radiochemistry data were validated in accordance with Site procedure RP-05, Rev. 3 (YNPS, 2009). Chemical analytical data were validated in accordance with EPA Region 1, New England Validation Guidelines (USEPA, 1989 and 1996b). A summary of the data validation findings and tabulated validated data are provided in Appendix B-1 (radiological), B-2 (chemical), and B-3 (validation checklists).

## 4.0 FINDINGS

Groundwater samples were submitted for both radiological and chemical parameters. The results and findings from the sampling events are presented in the following subsections.

## 4.1 RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

Radionuclides in groundwater are compared to the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA's) Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL). In additional to these criteria, data are also evaluated over time to assess if trends are decreasing, stable, or increasing. Consistent with evaluations presented in previous Annual Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Reports, a change of 15 percent over previous sampling events has been used to identify trends.

Groundwater samples were collected from 10 monitoring wells and seven surface water locations for analysis of radionuclides. Consistent with previous events, tritium was the only radionuclide positively identified in groundwater. The tritium results from the March 2010 sampling event are presented on Table 3 with previous data to demonstrate that there continues to be a generally downward and/or stable trend in tritium concentrations. Radionuclides were not detected in any of the surface water locations sampled during this event.

Consistent with historical results, the highest concentration of tritium was detected at MW-107C at 20,100 picocuries per liter (pCi/L), with the next highest detection reported at monitoring well MW-107F (8,940 pCi/L). The MCL for tritium is 20,000 pCi/L. As shown on Table 3, these detections are consistent with the conceptual site model; tritium remains elevated at a few locations; however, the concentrations are generally trending downward.

## 4.2 CHEMICAL PARAMETERS

Groundwater chemical data are evaluated using the GW-1 groundwater standards (310 CMR 40.0974(2)) (MassDEP, 2008). For the analyses where GW-1 standards are not published, data are compared to Massachusetts MCLs or Massachusetts Secondary MCLs (SMCLs) (MassDEP, 2007). Surface water chemical data are evaluated using USEPA Ambient Water Quality Criteria (AWQC) (USEPA, 2002). For the analyses where AWQC are not published, data are compared to Massachusetts MCLs or SMCLs (MassDEP, 2007).

<u>Former Industrial Area.</u> One monitoring well (MW-101A) is sampled for only arsenic as part of the monitoring program and is located in the Former Industrial Area. Arsenic was not detected at MW-101A. A summary of arsenic data from monitoring well MW-101A, including previous sampling events, is presented on Table 4.

<u>Former Southeast Construction Fill Area.</u> Samples were collected from three groundwater monitoring wells (CFW-1, CFW-5, and CFW-6) and five surface water locations (SW-1 through SW-5) to assess the potential environmental impacts from the Former SCFA. A summary of the sampling program is presented in Table 1.

No volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were detected in any of the groundwater or surface water samples. Several metals and other naturally occurring compounds were detected in both groundwater and surface water samples; however the concentrations are consistent with background and historic data. Only iron and manganese were detected at concentrations that exceed the SMCLs. SMCLs are used to assess the aesthetic qualities of drinking water and are not health-based standards; concentrations that exceed SMCLs are not necessarily indicative of potential health risks.

A summary of the groundwater data for wells downgradient of the SCFA is presented on Table 5. A summary of the surface water data for locations associated with the SCFA is presented in Table 6.

<u>Sherman Spring.</u> Sampling was completed at the Sherman Spring surface water location (SP-1) and samples were analyzed for VOCs and total Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) 8 metals plus thallium. Barium was detected well below applicable criteria. All other results were reported as not detected. Validated data is included in Appendix B-2.

<u>Sherman Reservoir</u>. Sampling was completed at the Sherman Reservoir surface water location (SW-011) and samples were analyzed for dissolved RCRA 8 metals. Barium was detected below applicable criteria. All other results were reported as not detected. Validated data is included in Appendix B-2.

<u>Background Location</u>. Background sampling was completed at the location where the Deerfield River enters the Sherman Reservoir (SW-408) and samples were analyzed for dissolved RCRA 8 metals. Barium was detected below applicable criteria. All other results were reported as not detected. Validated data is included in Appendix B-2.

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

The results from the March 2010 groundwater sampling event were consistent with the approved conceptual site model. No additional sampling is warranted at this time. In accordance with the Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Plan, the next groundwater sampling event is scheduled for March 2012.

Based on the data collected during the March 2010 sampling event, tritium continues to be the only site related radionuclide impacting groundwater and/or surface water at YNPS. Tritium concentrations continue to be stable or decreasing across the site, with the highest concentration reported at MW-107C at an activity of 20,100 pCi/L compared to the MCL of 20,000 pCi/L.

Arsenic was not detected at MW-101A. In accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan, samples must be collected from MW-101A annually for four consecutive years or until there are two consecutive rounds of data that are below the GW-1 standard of 0.01 milligrams per liter (mg/L). Based on the data presented in Table 4, sampling may be discontinued at MW-101A.

## 6.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

As the groundwater monitoring program is progressing, wells that are no longer part of the active network may also be abandoned at this time. Following the March 2010 sampling event, there are 51 monitoring wells that are no longer sampled and ready for abandonment. This action is recommended to eliminate the conduit for storm water runoff to potentially reach the water table.

## 7.0 ACRONYMS

AWQC	Ambient Water Quality Criteria
BUD	Beneficial Use Determination
LTP	License Termination Plan
MACTEC	MACTEC Engineering and Consulting Services, Inc.
MassDEP	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MCP	Massachusetts Contingency Plan
mg/L	milligrams per liter
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
pCi/L	picocuries per liter
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
SCFA	Southeast Construction Fill Area
SMCL	Secondary Maximum Concentration Limit
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
VOC	volatile organic compound
YNPS	Yankee Nuclear Power Station

### 8.0 **REFERENCES**

- ERM 2007. Groundwater Monitoring Plan to Support Closure under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan, Yankee Nuclear Power Station, Site Closure Project, Rowe, Massachusetts, June 2007.
- MACTEC, 2006. Health and Safety Plan, Yankee Nuclear Power Station, Rowe, Massachusetts, April 2006.
- MassDEP, 2007. Standards and Guidelines for Contaminants in Massachusetts Drinking Waters. Spring 2007. Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Research and Standards.
- MassDEP, 2008. Massachusetts Contingency Plan, 310 CMR 40.000. February 14, 2008.
- MassDEP, 2009. Phase II Comprehensive Site Assessment Report, April 8, 2009.
- USEPA, 1989. "Region I, Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analyses;" Hazardous Site Evaluation Division; February, 1989.
- USEPA, 1996a. Low Stress (Low Flow) Purging and Sampling Procedure for the Collection of Groundwater Samples from Ground Water Monitoring Wells, July 1996.
- USEPA, 1996b. "Region I, EPA-New England Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Environmental Analyses, Parts I and II," Quality Assurance Unit Staff; Office of Environmental Measurement and Evaluation; December, 1996.
- USEPA. 2002. Nationally Recommended Water Quality Criteria: 2002. Office of Water, Science and Technology. Doc. No. EPA-822-R-02-047
- YNPS, 2009. Groundwater Monitoring Program, RP-05, Rev. 3, ISFSI Radiation Protection, June 16, 2009.
- YNPS, 2007. Final Groundwater Conditions Report, Yankee Nuclear Power Station, Rowe, Massachusetts, February 15, 2007.

Figures



Tables

#### Table 1 Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Program Summary March 2010

#### Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010

Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts

	<pre>4matrix, Mathematrix, Math</pre>												. /		
			F	/ _ _	<u>т</u>			т Т	<u>т</u>	T	<u>т</u>	т Т	/ 9, T		, 1
			Pottle Size	1 40	500	500	500	500	1	500	250	2	2	500	1
		Rot	Bottle Size	40 mI				500 mI	Liter	500 mI	250 mI	Liter	Liter	500 mI	1
		Bo	nttle Material	Glass Vial	Poly	Poly	Poly	Poly	Poly	Poly	Amber Glass	Poly	Poly	Poly	1
		D	Procorvativo	HC1	HNO3	HNO3	HNO3	HNO3	N <sub>2</sub> OH	4 Deg C	H2SO4	HNO3	HNO3	None	1
			Lah ID	NEL	NEL.	NEL.	NEL.	NEL.	NEL	NEL	NEL.	GEL	GEL	GEL	1
Media	Loc Name	Field Sample ID	OC Code	TILL		TILL	TILL	1122	TILL	TILL .	TILL .	OLL	OLL	OLL	1
GW	CFW-1	CFW-1	FS	х	х				х	Х	Х				i.
GW	CFW-5	CFW-5	FS	х	х				х	Х	Х				1
GW	CFW-5	CFW-5DUP	FD	х	Х				Х	Х	Х				1
GW	CFW-5	CFW-5MS	MS	х	Х				Х	Х	Х				i.
GW	CFW-5	CFW-5MSD	MSD	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х				1
GW	CFW-6	CFW-6	FS	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х				1
GW	MW-101A	MW-101A	FS					Х							i.
GW	MW-102D	MW-102D	FS									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-104A	MW-104A	FS									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-104A	MW-104ADUP	FD									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-104A	MW-104AMS	MS									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-104A	MW-104AMSD	MSD									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-105B	MW-105B	FS									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-106A	MW-106A	FS									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-107C	MW-107C	FS									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-107D	MW-107D	FS									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-107E	MW-107E	FS									Х	Х	Х	1
GW	MW-107F	MW-107F	FS									Х	Х	Х	i.
SW	Monroe Dam	Monroe Dam	FS									Х	Х	Х	1
SW	SP-1	SP-1	FS	Х		Х						Х	Х	Х	1
SW	SW-1	SW-1	FS	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х				1
SW	SW-2	SW-2	FS	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х				i.
SW	SW-3	SW-3	FS	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х			ļ	1
SW	SW-4	SW-4	FS	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х				1
SW	SW-5	SW-5	FS	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х				
SW	SW-011	SW-011	FS				Х					Х	X	X	
SW	SW-408	SW-408	FS				Х					Х	X	X	
QC	EB-003	EB-003	EB									Х	X	X	
QC	TB-005	TB-005	TB	X											
QC	TB-006	TB-006	TB	X											
TOTAI				14	11	1	2	1	11	11	11	16	16	16	i.

Prepared/Date: MGV 03/04/10 Checked/Date: JRY 04/07/10

#### Table 1 Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Program Summary March 2010

### Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Rowe, Massachusetts

Notes:

Metals List 1 - RCRA 8 plus copper, iron, manganese, zinc, calcium, sodium

Metals List 2 - RCRA 8 plus thallium

<sup>1</sup> = Gamma isotopic includes: Co-60, Cs-134, Cs-137, Nb-94, Sb-125, Eu-152, Eu-154, Eu-155, Ag-108m

4 Deg C 4 Degrees Celsius

- COD chemical oxygen demand
- D Dissolved
- EB Equipment Blank
- FD Field Duplicate
- FS Field Sample
- GEL General Engineering Laboratories
- GPC Gross Proportional Counter
- GW Groundwater Sample
- H2SO4 Sulfuric Acid
- HCl Hydrochloric Acid
- HNO3 Nitric Acid
- LSC Liquid Scintillation Counter
- mL milliliter
- MS Matrix Spike
- MSD Matrix Spike Duplicate
- NaOH Sodium Hydroxide
- NEL Northeast Laboratories
- QC Quality Control
- RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
- SW Surface Water Sample
- T Total
- TB Trip Blank
- TDS Total Dissolved Solids
- TICs Tentatively Identified Compounds
- VOC volatile organic compound
- X indicates parameter scheduled for analysis.

### Table 2 **Field Parameter Measurements**

## Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 **Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts**

	Parameter	Conductivity	DO	Eh	pН	Temperature	Turbidity
	Units	µSiemens/cm	mg/L	mv	S.U.	Deg C	NTUs
Field Sample ID	Sample Date						
CFW-1	3/3/2010	95	11.0	220	5.0	6	28.9
CFW-5	3/2/2010	440	< 0.1	-78	6.0	5	2.2
CFW-6	3/2/2010	172	11.1	35	6.0	5	0.8
Monroe Dam	3/3/2010	35	12.2	150	6.3	2	3.1
MW-101A	3/3/2010	785	1.0	29	10.2	6	3.0
MW-102D	3/4/2010	182	5.9	-37	6.7	7	4.4
MW-104A	3/2/2010	402	< 0.1	120	6.0	9	1.1
MW-105B	3/4/2010	534	< 0.1	-200	6.8	8	2.0
MW-106A	3/4/2010	319	< 0.1	90	5.8	7	2.4
MW-107C	3/4/2010	355	4.2	-68	6.5	8	2.6
MW-107D	3/2/2010	344	4.1	-120	6.7	8	3.8
MW-107E	3/3/2010	199	3.8	-110	6.8	7	1.0
MW-107F	3/3/2010	233	< 0.1	-98	7.0	8	2.4
SP-1	3/3/2010	344	17.5	180	5.9	6	4.4
SW-1	3/3/2010	28	10.7	260	4.8	2	1.1
SW-2	3/3/2010	27	15.0	91	6.3	1	4.1
SW-3	3/3/2010	28	17.1	40	6.5	1	3.7
SW-4	3/2/2010	28	13.9	51	6.5	1	3.4
SW-5	3/2/2010	22	12.5	91	6.4	1	1.3
SW-011	3/3/2010	37	9.2	150	7.8	1	2.1
SW-408	3/3/2010	35	12.6	150	6.4	2	2.9
Notes:						Prepared/Date:	MGV 03/29/10

Checked/Date: JRY 04/07/10

Deg C - Degrees Celsius

DO - dissolved oxygen

Eh - oxidation/reduction potential

µSiemens/cm - microseimens per centimeter

mg/L - milligrams per liter

mv - millivolts

NTUs - Nephlemetric Units

S.U. - Standard Units

## Table 3 Summary of Tritium Analytical Data and Trend Analysis

### Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts

	Aug-03	Sep-03	Nov-03	Mar-04	May-04	Dec-06	Mar-07	Mar-08	Mar-09	Mar-10	Trend
Location	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	Analysis*						
CFW-5	-		-		-	-	392	-	-		
CFW-6	-		-		-	581	4000/4210	-	2440		
MW-102D						6530	8580	1590	-	-	Decrease
MW-104A						2850	3100/2930	1850	831/900	967/774	Decrease
MW-105B	4850		5220	4890	4530	2900	3440	4710	3490	3890	Stable
MW-106A						3010	- /2850	846	484	530	Stable
MW-107C		48000	45780	8880	39020	29100	30900	25700	21300	20100	Stable
MW-107D		9150	9710	5940	10910	9310	9440	9380	8210	7280	Stable
MW-107E						5700	6420	5060 / 5160	4650	5470	Stable
MW-107F						9210	9220	9890	8150	8940	Stable
Monroe Dam									-	-	Not Applicable
SP-1	-		-	210	890	1100	452	-	-	244	Stable
SW-011									-	-	Not Applicable
SW-408									-	-	Not Applicable

\* Trend analysis is based on a concentration change of greater than 15% from previous four events.

967/774 - shows sample and duplicate sample

"-" signifies concentration less than minimum detectable activity

pCi/L - picocuries per liter

Prepared/Date: MGV 04/02/10

Checked/Date: JRY 04/07/10

# Table 4 Summary of Arsenic Data at Monitoring Well MW-101A

## Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts

Location	Sample Date	Sample ID	QC Code	Units	Arsenic*
MW-101A	6/28/2006	208/MW101A-062806	FS	MG/L	0.0141
	9/14/2006	MW-101A-091406	FS	MG/L	0.0161
	12/14/2006	MW-101A-121406	FS	MG/L	0.012
	3/14/2007	MW-101A-031407	FS	MG/L	0.0092
	3/26/2008	MW-101A	FS	MG/L	0.01 J
	3/10/2009	MW-101A	FS	MG/L	-
	3/3/2010	MW-101A	FS	MG/L	-

Notes:

Prepared/Date: MGV 03/29/10 Checked/Date: JRY 04/07/10

\* GW-1 Standard for Arsenic is 0.01 mg/L (310 CMR 40.0974(2); effective 2/14/2008)

"-" indicates analyte not detected.

**Bold Italics** indicates an exceedance of the GW-1 standard.

FS - Field Sample

MG/L - milligrams per liter

J - estimated value

#### Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Tankee Nuclear Tower Station
Rowe, Massachusetts

		Location	CFW-1							
		Sample Date	8/7/2003	8/18/2004	8/19/2005	8/25/2005	9/18/2006	9/19/2006	3/15/2007	3/16/2007
		Sample ID	CFW-1-080703	CFW-1-081804	CFW-1-081905	CFW-1-082505	CFW-1-091806	CFW-1-091906	CFW-1-031507	CFW-1-031607
		QC Code	FS							
Analysis	Parameter	MCP Criteria								
VOCs	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	350	-	-	0.0014 J		-		-	
	Acetone	6.3	R	-	-		R		-	
	Chloromethane	1000	-	0.00069 J	0.0007 J		-		-	
	Naphthalene	0.14	-		-		-		-	
	Toluene	1000	-	0.00043 J	-		-		-	
Metals	Arsenic	0.01	-	-	-			-		-
	Barium	2	0.017	0.014	0.012			0.0451		0.0138
	Cadmium	0.005	-	-	-			-		0.0005 J
	Calcium	NA								1.83
	Chromium	0.1	-	-	-			0.0036 J		-
	Copper	1	-	-	-			0.0091		0.0026 J
	Iron	0.3*	1.8	1.2 J	0.706 J			10.7		1.98
	Lead	0.015	-	-	-			0.0056 J		0.0041 J
	Manganese	0.05*	0.047	0.11	0.0533			0.305		0.12
	Mercury	0.002	-	-	-			-		-
	Nickel	0.1	-	-	-			0.0073		
	Selenium	0.05	-	-	-			-		-
	Silver	0.1	-	-	-			-		0.0013 J
	Sodium	20								1.28
	Zinc	5	-	-	-			-		0.0126
Cyanide	Cyanide, Total	0.2	-	-	-			-		-
Wet Chemistry	Alkalinity, Total	NA	6	5.1	7		5		7.14	
	Chemical Oxygen Demand	NA	-	-	-		14.4			17.8
	Chloride	250*	-	-	-		-		0.67 J	
1	Nitrate as N	10	-	-		-		0.08 J		-
	Sulfate	250*	4.4 J	4.9	3.81 J		3.7		3.32	
	Total Dissolved Solids	500*	-	4	22	13		29		12

Notes:

All results in milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Bold Italics indicates an exceedance of applicable criteria.

Applicable criteria is the MCP GW-1 standard (310 CMR 40.0974(2);

effective 2/14/2008) and, if not avaible, the Maximum Contaminant Level or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (SMCL) (MADEP, 2007)

\* indicates SMCL; not a health-based standard

- FD Field Duplicate
- FS Field Sample

J - estimated value

NA - Not Available

QC - Quality Control

R - data rejected during validation; unusable

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

#### Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts

		Location	CFW-1	CFW-1	CFW-1	CFW-5	CFW-5	CFW-5	CFW-5	CFW-5
		Sample Date	3/25/2008	3/11/2009	3/3/2010	8/5/2003	8/18/2004	8/17/2005	9/13/2006	3/8/2007
		Sample ID	CFW-1	CFW-1	CFW-1	CFW-5-080503	CFW-5-081804	CFW-5-081705	CFW-5-091306	CFW-5-030807
		QC Code	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Analysis	Parameter	MCP Criteria								
VOCs	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	350	-	-	-	-	-	0.0006 J	-	-
	Acetone	6.3	0.0027	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
	Chloromethane	1000				-	0.00069 J	0.0009 J	-	-
	Naphthalene	0.14	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
	Toluene	1000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Metals	Arsenic	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0063
	Barium	2	-	-	-	0.043	0.061	0.0612	0.0638	0.0537
	Cadmium	0.005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Calcium	NA	1.5	1.7	1.3					29.1
	Chromium	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Copper	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Iron	0.3*	5.8 J	3.6 J	5.7	38	67	89.2	75.1	70.6
	Lead	0.015	-	-	-	R	-	-	0.0036 J	-
	Manganese	0.05*	0.15	0.14	0.20	3.5	4.4	4.16 J	4.62	4.28
	Mercury	0.002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Nickel	0.1				-	-	-	0.0129	
	Selenium	0.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.007 J	-
	Silver	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sodium	20	0.94	-	0.81					3.71
	Zinc	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cyanide	Cyanide, Total	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0176
Wet Chemistry	Alkalinity, Total	NA	3.4	3.4 J	4.6	87	93	101	130	127
	Chemical Oxygen Demand	NA	-	-	-	26	32	27.3	36.9	51.9
	Chloride	250*	-	-	-	-	2.7	1.91	15.5 J	9.12
	Nitrate as N	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.04 J
	Sulfate	250*	3.2	3.3	2.6	1.2	1.2	0.58 J	-	0.44 J
	Total Dissolved Solids	500*	46	1.0	-	120	200	111	170	170

Notes:

All results in milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Bold Italics indicates an exceedance of applicable criteria.

Applicable criteria is the MCP GW-1 standard (310 CMR 40.0974(2);

effective 2/14/2008) and, if not avaible, the Maximum Contaminant Level or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (SMCL) (MADEP, 2007)

\* indicates SMCL; not a health-based standard

FD - Field Duplicate

FS - Field Sample

J - estimated value

NA - Not Available

QC - Quality Control

R - data rejected during validation; unusable

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

#### Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Rowe, Massachusetts

		Location	CFW-5	CFW-5	CFW-5	CFW-5	CFW-5	CFW-5	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6
		Sample Date	3/26/2008	3/26/2008	3/10/2009	3/10/2009	3/2/2010	3/2/2010	8/11/2003	8/18/2004	8/24/2005
		Sample ID	CFW-5	CFW-5 DUP	CFW-5	CFW-5 DUP	CFW-5	CFW-5 DUP	CFW-6-081103	CFW-6-081804	FD001-082405
		QC Code	FS	FD	FS	FD	FS	FD	FS	FS	FD
Analysis	Parameter	MCP Criteria									
VOCs	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0009 J
	Acetone	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Chloromethane	1000							-	-	-
	Naphthalene	0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
	Toluene	1000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Metals	Arsenic	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Barium	2	-	-	0.051	0.052	0.053	0.053	0.069	0.077	0.0641
	Cadmium	0.005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Calcium	NA	16	15	28	28	28	27			
	Chromium	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Copper	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Iron	0.3*	32 J	31 J	65 J	63 J	70	71	67	51 J	71.5
	Lead	0.015	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Manganese	0.05*	1.9	1.8	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	8.8	6.9	7.65
	Mercury	0.002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Nickel	0.1							-	-	-
	Selenium	0.05	-	-	-	-	0.021 J	0.022 J	-	-	-
	Silver	0.1	-	-	0.017	0.018	-	-	-	-	-
	Sodium	20	1.8	1.6	-	-	2.9	2.9			
	Zinc	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cyanide	Cyanide, Total	0.2	-	-	0.012	0.012	-	-	-	-	-
Wet Chemistry	Alkalinity, Total	NA	69	63	130 J	170 J	110	140	100	110	136
	Chemical Oxygen Demand	NA	18	17	35	30	29	26	38	33	30.1
	Chloride	250*	2.3	2.2	4.8	4.2	5.1 J	5.0 J	-	2.3	9.12
	Nitrate as N	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sulfate	250*	2.3	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total Dissolved Solids	500*	110 J	100 J	110	150	130	140	180	200	204

Notes:

All results in milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Bold Italics indicates an exceedance of applicable criteria.

Applicable criteria is the MCP GW-1 standard (310 CMR 40.0974(2);

effecitve 2/14/2008) and, if not available, the Maximum Contaminant Level

or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (SMCL) (MADEP, 2007)

\* indicates SMCL; not a health-based standard

FD - Field Duplicate

FS - Field Sample

J - estimated value

NA - Not Available QC - Quality Control

R - data rejected during validation; unusable

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

#### Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Rowe, Massachusetts

		Location	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6
		Sample Date	8/24/2005	4/19/2006	9/13/2006	9/13/2006	3/8/2007	3/8/2007	3/25/2008	3/10/2009	3/2/2010
		Sample ID	CFW-6-082405	CFW-6-042006	CFW-6-091306	FD001-091306	CFW-6-030807	FD007-030807	CFW-6	CFW-6	CFW-6
		QC Code	FS	FS	FS	FD	FS	FD	FS	FS	FS
Analysis	Parameter	MCP Criteria									
VOCs	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	350	0.0008 J	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Acetone	6.3	0.008 J	0.0026 J	R	R	-	-	-	-	-
	Chloromethane	1000	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Naphthalene	0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Toluene	1000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Metals	Arsenic	0.01	-	-	-	-	0.0054 J	0.0049 J	-	-	-
	Barium	2	0.0629		0.0544	0.0592	0.0612	0.0592	-	-	-
	Cadmium	0.005	-	-	-	-	0.0005 J	0.0002 J	-	-	-
	Calcium	NA					25.5	25.4	7.4	14	14
	Chromium	0.1	-	-	0.0024 J	0.0027 J	0.0022 J	0.0028 J	-	-	-
	Copper	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Iron	0.3*	71		64.6	68.1	56.8	58.8	0.57 J	39 J	20
	Lead	0.015	-	-	0.0031 J	0.003 J	0.0029 J	-	-	-	-
	Manganese	0.05*	7.54		6.69	7.2	6.74	6.8	0.2	3.6	2.9
	Mercury	0.002	-	-	0.00018 J	-	0.00006 J	-	-	-	-
	Nickel	0.1	-	-	0.0098	0.01					
	Selenium	0.05	-	-	0.0091 J	0.0101 J	-	-	-	-	-
	Silver	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.013	-
	Sodium	20					1.56	1.52	1.3	-	2.7
	Zinc	5	-	-	0.0134	-	-	0.0056	-	-	-
Cyanide	Cyanide, Total	0.2	0.0127		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wet Chemistry	Alkalinity, Total	NA	116		108	131	100	128	17	100 J	71
	Chemical Oxygen Demand	NA	31.8		35.1	36.4	26.3	51.9	27	23	12
	Chloride	250*	7.79		14.7 J	16.1 J	12.5	11.8	-	3.2	2.7 J
	Nitrate as N	10	-		0.04 J	-	0.04 J	0.04 J	-	-	-
	Sulfate	250*	-		-	-	0.7 J	0.68 J	4.7	5.8	4.3 J
	Total Dissolved Solids	500*	214		147	172	189	181	33	77	89 J

Notes:

All results in milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Bold Italics indicates an exceedance of applicable criteria.

Applicable criteria is the MCP GW-1 standard (310 CMR 40.0974(2);

effecitve 2/14/2008) and, if not available, the Maximum Contaminant Level

or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (SMCL) (MADEP, 2007)

\* indicates SMCL; not a health-based standard

- FD Field Duplicate
- FS Field Sample J - estimated value

NA - Not Available

QC - Quality Control

R - data rejected during validation; unusable

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

"-" indicates analyte not detected

Prepared/Date: MGV 03/29/10

Checked/Date: JRY 04/07/10

P:\Projects\3617087152 - 3 Yankee GW Monitoring\4.0\_Deliverables\4.1\_Reports\Yankee Rowe\Annual Report, Spring 2010\ Table 5 - Southeast Construction Fill Area Chem.xls

## Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts

		Loc Name	SW-1	SW-1	SW-1	SW-2
		Field Sample Date	3/25/2008	3/11/2009	3/3/2010	3/25/2008
		Field Sample ID	SW-1	SW-1	SW-1	SW-2
		QC Code	FS	FS	FS	FS
Analysis	Parameter	Screening Values				
VOCs	Target Compounds		-	-	-	-
Metals	Calcium	NA	2.5	2.2	2.6	2.3
Metals	Iron	1	0.016 J	0.064 J	0.032	0.021 J
Metals	Manganese	0.05*	-	-	-	-
Metals	Sodium	20*	1.1	-	0.78	1.1
Cyanide	Cyanide, Total	0.0052	-	-	-	-
Wet Chemistry	Alkalinity, Total	20	1.9	2.3	5.4	1.1
Wet Chemistry	Sulfate	250*	5	4.2	5.5	5
Wet Chemistry	Total Dissolved Solids	250*	21	5.0	19 J	54

Notes:

All results in milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Screening value is the USEPA Ambient Water Quality Criteria

(AWQC) and, if not available, the Maximum Contaminant

Level or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (MADEP, 2007)

\* indicates criteria is from the Secondary Maximum

Contaminant Level; not a health-based standard

FS - Field Sample

J - estimated value

NA - Not Available

QC - Quality Control

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

## Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts

		Loc Name	SW-2	SW-2	SW-3	SW-3
		Field Sample Date	3/10/2009	3/3/2010	3/25/2008	3/10/2009
		Field Sample ID	SW-2	SW-2	SW-3	SW-3
		QC Code	FS	FS	FS	FS
Analysis	Parameter	Screening Values				
VOCs	Target Compounds		-	-	-	-
Metals	Calcium	NA	2.1	2.5	2.2	2.0
Metals	Iron	1	0.063 J	0.037	0.029 J	0.061 J
Metals	Manganese	0.05*	-	-	-	-
Metals	Sodium	20*	-	0.80	1.1	-
Cyanide	Cyanide, Total	0.0052	-	-	-	-
Wet Chemistry	Alkalinity, Total	20	2.1	5.4	-	1.7
Wet Chemistry	Sulfate	250*	5.4	5.5	5.9	5.3
Wet Chemistry	Total Dissolved Solids	250*	16	19 J	8	26

Notes:

All results in milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Screening value is the USEPA Ambient Water Quality Criteria

(AWQC) and, if not available, the Maximum Contaminant

Level or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (MADEP, 2007)

\* indicates criteria is from the Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level; not a health-based standard

FS - Field Sample

J - estimated value

NA - Not Available

QC - Quality Control

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

## Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts

		Loc Name	SW-3	SW-4	SW-4	SW-4
		Field Sample Date	3/3/2010	3/25/2008	3/10/2009	3/2/2010
		Field Sample ID	SW-3	SW-4	SW-4	SW-4
		QC Code	FS	FS	FS	FS
Analysis	Parameter	Screening Values				
VOCs	Target Compounds		-	-	-	-
Metals	Calcium	NA	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.4
Metals	Iron	1	0.50	1.1 J	0.55 J	0.90
Metals	Manganese	0.05*	0.074	0.14	0.076	0.13
Metals	Sodium	20*	0.60	1.1	-	0.65
Cyanide	Cyanide, Total	0.0052	-	-	-	-
Wet Chemistry	Alkalinity, Total	20	5.6	3.5	2.9	6.5
Wet Chemistry	Sulfate	250*	4.8	5.1	5.2	4.8 J
Wet Chemistry	Total Dissolved Solids	250*	13 J	19	35	11 J

Notes:

All results in milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Screening value is the USEPA Ambient Water Quality Criteria

(AWQC) and, if not available, the Maximum Contaminant

Level or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (MADEP, 2007)

\* indicates criteria is from the Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level; not a health-based standard

FS - Field Sample

J - estimated value

NA - Not Available

QC - Quality Control

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

## Post Closure Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Report Spring 2010 Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts

		Loc Name	SW-5	SW-5	SW-5
		Field Sample Date	3/25/2008	3/10/2009	3/2/2010
		Field Sample ID	SW-5	SW-5	SW-5
		QC Code	FS	FS	FS
Analysis	Parameter	Screening Values			
VOCs	Target Compounds		-	-	-
Metals	Calcium	NA	2.3	2.2	2.0
Metals	Iron	1	0.26 J	0.48 J	0.27
Metals	Manganese	0.05*	0.04	0.071	0.044
Metals	Sodium	20*	1	-	0.60
Cyanide	Cyanide, Total	0.0052	-	-	-
Wet Chemistry	Alkalinity, Total	20	1.5	2.7	4.3
Wet Chemistry	Sulfate	250*	5	5.3	4.2 J
Wet Chemistry	Total Dissolved Solids	250*	31	3.0	4.0 J

Notes:

All results in milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Screening value is the USEPA Ambient Water Quality Criteria

(AWQC) and, if not available, the Maximum Contaminant

Level or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (MADEP, 2007)

\* indicates criteria is from the Secondary Maximum

Contaminant Level; not a health-based standard

FS - Field Sample

J - estimated value

NA - Not Available

QC - Quality Control

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

"-" indicates analyte not detected

Prepared/Date: MGV 03/29/10

Checked/Date: JRY 04/07/10

APPENDIX A

FIELD DATA RECORDS - MARCH 2010

# ISFSI Radiation Protection

	RP-	05
	Rev	. 3
GRO	UND WATER SAMPLING FIELD & C.C.	
G	Form 1	
Sample LocationCFW1	NYZ. II T	
Sampling Team REALE A.	well Designation CFW	
Date 3/3/10	BESample PeriodARCHONO	
STADEO	Time 0950 (Sample A)	
219121 (2) 0915	(SAMPLE)	
	END & 1020	,
Measuring Point	Depth to Mid Screen	(0)
Well Depth (from many	Diameter of Well 2	_( <b>π</b> )
Denth to	at) (D)	(in)
Deput to water (DTW)	9.12	(ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	3.37	(ft)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	$_{5,75}$ (ft) (LWC=D_DT	177 177
	0.92 ral 0	w ).
Volume of D	gai Conversi	on
VTP = V	W x 3) 7 7 Factor, 16	51
•	(gal)	
At Time of Mar		
Color Measurements:		
COLOF FAINT CLOUDY		ľ
Total volume purged DRV	Odor NONE	
Purging method GEO Quan Q	Duration of purging N/A	-
Weather condition	Did well go dry?	
Conditions Fair OVERCA	IST. COLD LITE SUGAR	
	-, che snow.	
		l
Pump Serial Numb		
Water On its	-40	*
water Quality Monitor Serial Number	Molt	
Analyses Requested Voc, CoD, CA)	110-05	
1.	This nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS allalish	

Previous Final Readings: pH 584 Cond 6413 Turb 228 DO 1512 Temp 334 ORP 198 DTW 233 Flow 100 3H -

## **ISFSI** Radiation Protection

0945

#### WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS Form 2 Sample Round CFW1 2010 MARCH Current Readings . . DTW Comments D.0 Temp ORP Turb Time pН Cond (°C) (min) (NTU) (mg/L)(mv) (feet) mS/cm +/-1E +/-10 mv +/- 10% +/-10% +/- 0.1 +/- 3% 0 NA <10NTU std.unit 3/1/10. RECORD 5 WELL WAS PURGED DRY ON 10 DATA: ONE SET FIELD 15 6.1 215 3.37 10,95 4.97 ,095 28.9 20 COLLECT SAMPLES 0950 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120

RP-05 Rev. 3

SFSI Radiation Protection	RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WAT	<u>FER SAMPLING FIELD LOG</u> Form 1
	XX II Designation (FL)5
Sample Location <u>CFW3</u>	Well Designation Cr 2010
Sampling Team <u>NENE AUBE</u>	_Sample Period
Date 3/2/10	Time
START & 0915	
	Depth to Mid Screen(ir)
Measuring Point TOR	Diameter of Well $2.0$ (ff)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	
Depth to water (DTW)	$\frac{4,30}{100}$
Length of Water Column (LWC)	<u>3,32</u> (ff) (LWC=D-D1W)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	<u> </u>
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x	3) <u>1.6896 (gal)</u>
At Time of Measurements:	Odor NONE
Total volume purged 4,2484 G	Duration of purging SG MIN
Province method (1500/100)	Did well go dry?
Weather conditions <u>SUNNY</u> , COLL	), CALM
Pump Serial Number <u>5008-4</u> Water Quality Monitor Serial Number <u>Analyses Requested Voc, con, col</u> , n	MO15-05 Hoste, chloride, sulfate, metals, TOS, alkalinity
Di IDestino di 39 Conde	171Turb 0-37 DO 437 Temp 393 ORP -63 DTW_5.11
Previous Final Readings: pH Cond_	mpA

Page 10 of 40

## ISFSI Radiation Protection

	Form 2								
	Sample	Sample Round MARCH 2010 CFW5							
	Current Readings								
	Time	pH	Cond	Turb	D.0	Temp	ORP	DTW	Comments
	(mm)		mS/cm	(NIU)	(mg/L)	(°C)	(mv)	(feet)	
6935	BEGIN PUNGIE	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		RATE
0940	5	5.55	. 488	76.4	0.00	4.2	11	5.15	190
0945	10	5.48	. 482	55.7	0,00	4.3	12	5.13	190
0950	15	5,43	. 477	42.0	0,00	4.4	-8	5.12	190
0955	20	5.41	. 462	33.8	0.00	4,4	-16	5,11	190
1000	25	5.41	. 458	26.5	0,00	4.4	-21	5.11	190
1005	30	5.43	2459	17.4	0,00	4.5	-25	5.11	190
1010	35	5.48	.457	12,9	0,00	4.6	-31	5.11	190
1015	40	5.58	.455	9,04	0,00	4.6	-41	5,11	190
1020	45	5.69	.453	6.29	0,00	4.7	-50	5.11	190
1025	50	5.79	.451	4.88	0,00	4.7	-58	5.11	190
1030	55	5.86	.446	3:40	0,00	4.6	-64	5,11	190
1035	60	5.91	.446	2.79	0.00	4.6	-69	5,11	190
1040	65	5.94	. 442	2,27	0,00	4.6	-76	5.11	190
1045	70	5,95	.441	2,25	0,00	4,5	-76	5.11	190
1050	75	5.96	.440	2.23	0,00	4,5	-78	5,11	190
1051	80	COLLE	CT SA.	MPLES	, DUP;	M5, M	SD.		
	85								
	90								
	95								
	100								
	105								
	110								
	115								
	120								

## WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

RP-05 Rev. 3

ISFSI Radiation Protection	RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WATE	IR SAMPLING FIELD LOG Form 1
CCU - SEEA	The II Designation (Gla)-6
Sample Location CFW-6 0000 V	Vell Designation <u>Crub 2010</u>
Sampling Team M. Ven Noo (3enium S	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
Date <u>5-2-70</u> 1	ime
Measuring Point <u>To R</u> Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	Depth to Mid Screen(ft) Diameter of Well $2.6$ (in) 8.3 (ft)
Depth to water (TTW)	5.74 (ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	2.63 (ft) (LWC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	0.42 gal Conversion
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3)	1-26 (gal)
At Time of Measurements:	Shill some T
Color Clear, slight yellow fint	Odor Jisht olgen C
Total volume purged <u>1.66 g.d.</u>	Duration of purging <u>72 mile</u>
Purging method Low- Flow, Geogramp	Did well go dry?/
Weather conditions <u>Sunny</u> , 35°F	
Pump Serial Number <u>5008-39</u> Water Quality Monitor Serial Number <u>Hord</u> Analyses Requested <u>NOC</u> COD, CN, Metal	so u. 22 Mois-09, HACH 21009 MO24-20 s, Nitrete, Chloride, Snifete, TDS, Alkalinity
Previous Final Readings: pH 6.00Cond a 4781	urb <u>i48</u> DO <u>000</u> Temp <u>44</u> ORP <u>-44</u> DTW <u>643</u>
Flow 150 3H 2440	

Page 10 of 40

## **ISFSI** Radiation Protection

#### CFW-6 Form 2 Sample Round March 2010 Current Readings ORP DTW Turb D.0 Temp Comments Time pН Cond (min) (NTU) (°C) mS/cm (mg/L)(mv) (feet) 3.2.10 0 +/- 0.1 +/- 3% +/- 10% +/-10% +/-1E +/-10 mv 0920 Puge@ 150 mL/min NA <10NTU 5.74 std.unit 5 98 0925 6.98 5.9 22.2 9.47 5.79 0.171 69) 10 53 12.45 4.6 0930 11.9 5.86 0.124 15 45 6.92 4.5 0935 5.90 0.143 5.45 12.14 20 0940 5.93 1.79 11.92 42 6.90 4.4 0.15) 25 0945 3) 6.88 5.98 0.166 0.98 11.74 4.5 30 6.90 0950 0.79 45 35 11.38 5.98 0.171 0955 35 6.93 10.90 4.6 3) 5.99 1.10 0.172 4.7 1000 40 35 5.99 11.09 6.94 0.172 0.83 45 m Collect Samples 1002 50-1m 3.2.10 Sampling complete. Well 1020 Secure 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120

## WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

Page 11 of 40

RP-05 Rev. 3
ISFSI Radiation Protection		RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WAT	<u>FER SAMPLING FIELD LOG</u> <u>Form 1</u>	
Sample Location Monree DAM Sampling Team M. Van Noordenven Date 33-10	Well Designation Monroe Dam Sample Period March 2010 Time 1110 - 1125	
	Depth to Mid Screen	(ft)
Measuring Point	Diameter of Well	(in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D) Depth to water (DTW)		(ft) (ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	(ft) (LW0	C=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	gal	Conversion Factor
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3	3) (gal)	

At Time of Measurements:		1
Color Clear		Odor None
Total volume purged N/A		Duration of purging N/A
Purging method 1/A	_	Did well go dry?
Weather conditions Snowy, 35'F		

.

Pump Serial Number	NA		•	
Water Quality Monito	or Serial Number Hanb	: 4.22 Mais-09	, HACH 2100P	mo24-20
Previous Final Reading	s: pH482Condoo4Tu	rb 5.91 DO 10-41 Tem	p 2.1 ORP 288 D	
	Flow 3U CMDA			

#### WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS Monroe Dam Form 2 Sample Round March 2010 **Current Readings** Temp ORP Turb D.0 DTW Comments Time pН Cond (min) (NTU) (°C) mS/cm (mg/L) (mv) (feet) +/-10% +/-1E +/-10 mv +/- 0.1 +/- 3% +/- 10% 0 NA <10NTU std.unit and NAA 3-3-10 \$ 1125 152 2.0 3.10 12.18 6:31 0.035 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 4 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120

RP-05

Rev. 3

ISFSI Radiation Protection	RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WATE	<u>R SAMPLING FIELD LOG</u> <u>Form 1</u>
Sample Location <u>MUIOIA</u> W	Vell Designation MW 101A
Sampling Team <u><i>RENE AUBE</i></u> S	ample Period <u>MARCH 2010</u>
Date <u>3/3/10</u> T	ime_ 1145 (SAMPLE)_
START @ 1115	END @ 1200
	Depth to Mid Screen(ft)
Measuring Point TOR	Diameter of Well 2.0 (in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	<u>24,1)</u> (ft)
Depth to water (DTW)	13.52 (ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	10,59 (ft) (LWC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	1,6944 gal Conversion
	Factor, 16
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3)	5,0832 (gal)

At Time of Measurements:	
Color CLEAR	OdorNONE
Total volume purged DRV	Duration of purging N/A
Burging method GEO DIIMD	Did well go dry? YES
Westler conditions File SUFRCAST	COLD LITE SALOU ]
weather conditions <u>Full Sventchov</u> ,	OCD, ETTE JUGA.

Pump Serial Number		5008-40	,			
Water Quality Monito	r Serial Number	MOI5	-05			
Analyses Requested	Arseniz		<u></u>			
Previous Final Reading	s: pH 11.48 Cond	<u>1.02</u> Turb <u>(20</u> DC	4.17 Temp 691	ORP-139 DT	W <u>13.8</u> 7	

# RP-05 Rev. 3

# WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

			<u>`</u>		For	<u>m 2</u>			
	Sample	Round	MAS	ICH 20	310		A	10-1	161014
	•	\$	-	Current	Readings				
	Time (min)	рН	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
	0	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		
	5	WELL	WAS P	URGED	DRY C	N 3/1/	10. TO	Econi	)
	10	ONE S	SET FI	ELD D.	ATA:				
1140	15	10.22	, 185	2.95	0,99	5.6	29	13.52	
1145	20	COUE	CT SA	MPIE	5				
	25								
	30								
	35								
	40					1			
	45								
	50								
	55								
	60					1			
	65								
	70						·		
	75								
	80								
	85		-						
	90							·	
	95								
	100								
<i>4</i> ,	105								
	110								
	115						_		-
	120	-							
	1	1	1		4	1 N N	1		

ISFSI Radiation Protection		en e		RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WAT	<u>FER SAN</u> <u>Form</u>	1PLING FIELI	<u>DLOG</u>	
Sample Location MW-7020	_Well De	signation <u>MW</u>	1020	
Sampling Team M-Van Noordennen	_Sample	Period Merch	2010	
Date <u>3-1-70</u> , <u>3-4-70</u>	Time		0-1150	
		Depth to Mid So	reen	(ft)
Measuring Point TOR		Diameter	of Well	. <i>O</i> (in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)			22.55	(ft)
Depth to water (DTW)			16.91	(ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)		5.64	(ft) (LW	/C=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)		0.90	gal	Conversion
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3	;) _	2.7	(gal)	Factor_0.16
		- 		
		an ng kanan na kanan dan yan dan kanan goo ya da kanan k		
At Time of Measurements: Color	_	Odor <u>Shight</u> Duration of pu Did well go dr	oczanic urging N/A v? Ves	

Pump Serial Number _	Geophimp	5008-39	
Water Quality Monitor	Serial Number	Horiba 4.22 Mois-09, HACH 2100P	m024.20
Analyses Requested	8-spec, Sr-9	Po, H-3	
Previous Final Readings	s: pH <u>841</u> Cond <u>o</u>	327 Turb 4.55 DO 9.29 Temp 5.33 ORP 61 DT	W 16.98

Flow 100 3H < MOA

Weather conditions Shony, 35°F

# RP-05

Rev. 3

#### WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

MW-1020 Form 2 Sample Round 2010 March Current Readings . Time pН Cond Turb D.0 Temp ORP DTW Comments (min) (NTU) (°C) mS/cm (mg/L) (mv) (feet) +/- 0.1 +/- 3% +/-10% 0 +/- 10% +/-1E +/-10 mv std.unit NA <10NTU 5 16.91 Well was purged 6.68 5.8) 0.182 4.40 -3.> 1120 6.8 10 Sampling dy on 3-1-10 Complete 1150 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120

3-4.10

ļ

R

ISFSI Radiation Protection	RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WATE	<u>ER SAMPLING FIELD LOG</u> Form 1
Sample Location $MW104A$ NSampling Team $NENE$ $AUBE$ SDate $3/2/10$ $3/2/10$ $3/2/10$	Vell Designation <u>MUJ104A</u> Sample Period <u>MANCH 2010</u> Sime <u>1536 (SAMPLE)</u>
START & 1430	END @ 1730 Depth to Mid Screen (ft)
Measuring Point <u>TOR</u> Well Depth (from measuring point) (D) Depth to water (DTW)	Diameter of Well $2.0$ (in) 27.81 (ft) 21.12 (ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC) Volume of Water in Well (VW)	<u>6:69</u> (ft) (LWC=D-DTW) <u>1,0704</u> gal Conversion Factor 16
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3)	<u>3.2112 (gal)</u>

At Time of Measurements:	
Color CLEAR	Odor NONE
Total volume purged 1.872 GAL	Duration of purging 36 MIN
Purging method GEOPUMP	Did well go dry?NO
Weather conditions <u>SUNNY</u> , COLD, CALM	
/	

		`	
Pump Serial Number	5008-40		
Water Quality Monito	r Serial Number <u>MO15-05</u>		
Analyses Requested	8 spec, Sr-90, 14-3		•
Previous Final Reading	s: pH_?? Cond as 27 Turb ars DO 3.9 Ter	mp 8.44 ORP 140 DTV	N 21.05
	Flow 250 3H 831		•

Γ

#### Form 2 Sample Round MARCH 2010 MWIOHA Current Readings DTW D.0 Temp ORP Comments Time Turb Cond pН (°C) (NTU) (min) (mv) (feet) mS/cm (mg/L)+/-10% +/-1E +/-10 mv +/- 0.1 +/- 3% +/- 10% Purge @ 260 ML/min BEGIN NA <10NTU 1500 std.unit PURGE 21.15 9.2 5 ,407 5.04 0,00 109 105 6.06 3,20 21.15 1510 10 9.2 ,405 0,00 6.06 111 15 1515 606 ,403 2.22 0,00 9.2 21,15 112 20 604 1.39 0.00 2),15 1520 9.1 ,402 118 9.1 21.15 1525 25 6.01 ,402 1.10 119 0,00 9.1 119 21.15 30 .402 1.11 1530 0.00 6.01 9.2 21.15 35 0,00 119 1535 6.01 ,402 1.08 40 COLLECT SAMPLES DUP, MS. MSD. 1536 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120

#### WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

GROUND WATER SAI	MPLING FIELD LOG
Form	<u>n 1</u>
Sample Location <u>MW105B</u> Well De	esignation MW105B
Sampling Team RENE AUBE Sample	Period MARCH 2010
Date 3/4/10 Time	1131 (SAMPLE)
START @ 0845	END & 1230
	Depth to Mid Screen(ft)
Measuring Point TOR	Diameter of Well 2, O (in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	<u>75,49</u> (ft)
Depth to water (DTW)	<u>24,14</u> (ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	51,35 (ft) (LWC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	8,216 gal Conversion
	Factor <u>.16</u>
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3)	24.648 (gal)
At time of Measurements: $A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A $	Odor NONE
Color (1FAL	0001 70000

NEAD Odor NONE
Color (LEATIC Odd) JOErce
Total volume purged <u>3.926</u> Duration of purging <u>131 MIN</u>
Purging method <u>BLADDER PUMP</u> Did well go dry? <u>NO</u>
Weather conditions <u>SUNNY</u> , COLD, CALM
Pump Serial Number S05102
Water Quality Monitor Serial Number
Analyses Requested Spec, Sr. 90, 14-3
Previous Final Readings: pH 261 Conde 612 Turb 5.6 DO and Temp 8.9 ORP - 89 DTW 28.9)
Flow 100 3H 3490

#### Form 2 MW105B Sample Round MARCH 2010 Current Readings Comments DTW ORP D.0 Temp Turb Time pН Cond (°C) (NTU) (min) (feet) (mv)mS/cm (mg/L)+/-10 mv +/-10% +/-1E +/- 3% +/- 10% +/- 0.1 Purge @ 100 mL/min BEGIN 0900 NA <10NTU std.unit PUNGE 5 24.92 5.03 7.7 151 5.64 0905 ,500 28.7 135 10 25.43 2,64 7.0 20.2 5.71 .509 0910 43 15 6.6 25,88 24,4 0.97 .524 0915 5.83 20 ,534 6.7 26.22 -21 5.97 29.7 0,00 0920 25 26.3 6.7 -71 26.58 6.16 ,536 0925 0.00 .533 -89 26.84 30 6.7 0930 20,5 6.30 0,00 27:03 35 0,00 6.6 -101 .522 0935 6.47 17,1 6.59 40 6.9 -109 27:28 0940 0,00 ,503 11.0 45 6.65 6.9 27,48 0945 . 491 9.15 0.00 -119 6.9 50 -131 27,60 6.76 0950 6.67 0,00 ,488 55 6,9 ,490 5.38 27.79 0955 0.00 - )41 6.69 60 , 491 4.19 7.0 -14A 27.87 1000 0.00 6.69 6,70 2300 65 3.77 -162 1005 .498 0,00 7.0 70 7.2 28.10 3,15 -)66 1010 0.00 6.71 ,502 75 -169 28.M 7.1 1015 ,506 6.72 3.02 0.00 80 7.3 1020 28,26 2,86 -)72 0.00 6,72 ,509 85 7.3 -175 28,34 1025 6.72 ,511 2,42 6,00 7.3 90 -180 28,41 1030 2.01 0.00 6.72 .516 7.3 95 28.47 1035 -184 ,522 2,00 0.00 6,72 100 1040 ,525 7.2 -187 28,53 2,04 0,00 6.72 1045 105 7.2 -189 28,58 6:73 ,526 2,01 0,00 -190 28.61 110 7.3 6,74 1050 ,529 0,00 2.02 115 7,4 28,65 -191 ,529 0,00 1055 6.76 2.02 7.4 120 0,00 -192 28,68 ,530 2.01 1100 6.75

#### WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

Page 11 of 40

	Form 2								
	Sample Round MARCH 2010 MW105B CONT'D								B CONT'D
		•		27 N	Current	Readings			
-	Time	pH	Cond	Turb	D.0	Temp	ORP	DTW	Comments
	(min)		mS/cm	(NTU)	(mg/L)	(-C)	(mv)	(feet)	
•	0	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		Purge @ 100 mL/min
1105	- 5	6.74	,531	2,02	0,00	7.4	-193	28,70	
1110	10	6.75	, 532	2,00	0,00	7,5	-193	28.72	
1115	15	6.76	, 533	2,00	0100	7.6	-193	28.73	
1120	20	6.77	.534	2.01	0,00	7.7	-)94	28,74	
1125	25	6:77	,535	2,00	0.00	7.8	-194	28,74	
1130	30	6.77	,534	2.01	0,00	7,8	-195	28,74	
1131	35	COLLE	CT SI	AMPLE	3				
•	40						-		
	45								
	50				a contraction of the second				
	55		· ·	-					
	60								
	65								
	70								
•	75								
	80			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					·
	85								
	90								
	95				· · · ·				
	100								
	105								
	110			-					
	115					·			
	120		-						

WATER OUALITY PARAMETERS

GROUND WA	<u>Form 1</u>
Sample Location <u>MW106A</u>	Well Designation <u>MWIO6A</u>
Sampling Team <u>7(ENE AUBE</u> Date <u>3/4/10</u>	Sample Period <u>MARCE 2010</u> Time 1411 (SAMPLE)
START @ 1300	END @ 1455
	Depth to Mid Screen(ft)
Measuring Point Ton	Diameter of Well $2, $ (in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	<u>(ft)</u>
Depth to water (DTW)	<u> </u>
Length of Water Column (LWC)	<u>15,64</u> (ft) (LWC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	2,5024 gal Conversion
	Factor, 16
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW $x$ :	3) $7.5072$ (gal)
At Time of Measurements:	
Color CLEAR	Odor NONE
Total volume purged	Duration of purging 61 MIN
Purging method GEOPUMP	Did well go dry?
Weather conditions SUNNY (OLD	CALM

RP-05 Rev. 3

Pump Serial Number _	5008-40	
Water Quality Monitor	Serial Number MO15-05	
Analyses Requested	8 spec, Sr.90, H-3	•
Previous Final Reading	s: pH_22 Cond and Turb 0.44 DO 5.29 Temp 633 ORP 1 DTV	N_6.86
	Flow 100 3H 484	•

,

ł

÷

2

			Y	WATE	For	<u>m 2</u>				
	Sample	Round	MAR	CH 20	010		.M	WIO	5.4	
4		•	-	•	Current Readings					
	Time	pH	Cond	Turb	D.0	Temp (°C)	ORP	DTW	Comments	
	(min)		mS/cm		(mg/L)		(mv)	(feet)		
1310	BEGIN PURGE	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/-1E	+/-10 mv		PLIGE@100mymin	
1315	5	5.94	.327	6.74	1.53	7.3	26	7.19		
1320	10	5,84	, 323	6,55	0.03	7.)	36	7.25		
1325	15	5,82	,323	7.10	0,05	7.1	40	7.30		
1330	20	5,80	.323	4.92	0,00	6.9	48	7.37		
1335	25	5.79	,323	3,87	0,00	6.9	52	7.44	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	
1340	30	5.80	.322	3.03	0.00	7.0	58	7.49		
1345	35	5.79	,321	2.39	0,00	7.0	67	7,53		
1350	40	5.79	,320	2.39	0,00	7.0	74	7.57		
1355	45	5,79	,319	2,40	0,00	7.0	79	7.60		
1400	50	5.79	, 319	2,38	0,00	7.0	83	7.61		
1405	55	5,80	,320	2.39	0,00	7.0	87	7,61		
1410	60	5.79	, 319	2.40	0.00	7.0	90	7.61		
1411	65	COLLE	CT SA	MPLES				-		
	70									
•	75									
	80									
	85									
	90			·						
	95	1								
	100									
	105					-				
	110									
	115									
	120			· ·						

#### WATER OUALITY PARAMETERS

ISFSI Radiation Protection		RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WAT	Form 1 Well Designation MW-10)	
Sample Location Marco Nangleona	Sample Period March 2010	agamati
Date 3.4-10	Time_0735	
Measuring Point <u>Tok</u> Well Depth (from measuring point) (D) Depth to water (DTW) Length of Water Column (LWC) Volume of Water in Well (VW) Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3	Depth to Mid Screen Diameter of Well $2.4$ 42.80 23.32 13.98 (ft) (LWC an 56 3.04 gal 9.12 (gal)	(ft) (ft) (ft) C=D-DTW) Conversion Factor

At Time of Measurements:	Odor None
Total volume purged 3.88 gal	Duration of purging <u>47 min</u> Did well go dry? No
Weather conditions <u>54mmy</u> , breezy, 35°F	

Pump Serial Number	Bladder Sost-03	
Water Quality Monito	or Serial Number Harber 41.22 Mars-09, HACH 21009	mo24-20
Analyses Requested _	Y-spec, Sr.90, H-3	•
Previous Final Reading	gs: pH <u>8.48</u> Cond <u>a.492</u> Turb <u>6.61</u> DO <u>1.77</u> Temp <u>7.60</u> ORP <u>7.67</u> DT	:W_ <u>29.</u> 93

Flow 100 3H\_21,300

#### RP-05 Rev. 3

#### WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

			Υ. Υ		For	<u>rm 2</u>		m	10-1070	*
	Sample	Round	March	2010						
	•	- I	0		Current	Readings				
	Time (min)	pН	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Cor	nments
3-4-10	0 mi 2240	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv	23.82	Page at	100 ml/min
0845	5 000	6.07	0.344	17.4	8.47	2.1	12	25.51		
0750	10 00	6.15	0.343	12.6	2.71	7.2	12	25.97		
0855	15	6-21	0.342	7.97	2.22	2.3	-3	26.53		
0900	20	6.24	0-341	6.05	6.80	2.4	- 18	27.00		
0905	25	6.27	0-342	6.01	6.49	2.5	-31	27.40		
0910	30	6.29	0.348	6.23	6.27	7.3	-37	27.71		aan ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa
0915	35	6-31	0.352	5.62	6.00	7.3	-41	27.98		-
0920	40	6.34	0-354	4.82	5.77	2.4	-46	28.20		
0925	45	6.34	0-356	4.36	NS-45	7.4	-52	28.40		
0930	50	6.35	0-355	4.40	5.40	7.4	-56	28.55		
0935	55	6.37	0.356	4.27	5.26	7.4	- 58	28.71		
0940	60	6.38	0.357	3.60	5.10	7.6	-59	28.83		
0945	65	6.39	0-35)	4.03	5.02	2.5	-59	28.94		
0950	70	6.39	0.357	3.73	4.93	2.5	-60	29.03		
0955	75	640	0.357	4.31	4.88	7.3	-61	29.12	· · ·	
1000	80	6.41	0.35)	4.98	4.73	2.7	-62	29.20		
1005	85	6.42	0-356	4.31	4.69	7.6	-63	29.25		
1010	90	643	0.355	4.28	4.63	7.5	-64	29.31		
1015	95	6.43	0.355	4.48	4.61	7.4	-65	29.36		
1020	100	6.44	0-355	3.81	4.54	2.5	-65	29.43		
1025	105	6.45	0-355	4.01	4.49	7.5	-6)	29.49		an de la constant de
1030	110	6.45	0.355	3.77	4.43	2.6	-6)	29.53		n di sekono sense se sense de la sense de la sense de se
1035	115	6.45	0:356	3.13	4.41	24	-6)	29.5)		NAME OF CONTRACTOR OF CONT
1040	120	6.45	0.355	2.98	4.36	2.5	-68	29.60	V	,

Page 11 of 40

# RP-05

ĸ	ф.	ς,		
77	v	¥	٠	•
-	-	-		

5	W	~	İ	Ò	7	4

	Sample	Round	March	2010					
					Current	Readings	· · · ·		
3.4.10	Time (min)	pH	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
	0	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		Purze at 100 m /mm
1045	125	646	0,355	2.74	4.29	2.8	-68	29.63	
1050	130	6.47	0.354	3.01	4.28	7.7	-68	29.66	
1055	135	648	0.355	2.86	4.23	7.)	-68	29.69	
1100	140	647	0-355	2.54	4.24	7.6	-68	29.71	
1105	145	6.4)	0-355	2.61	4.24	7.8	-68	29.70	
(oil	+50	well	stable	Collect	Samples		and the second second second second second	an der Alagen Allers of the grant of the large	
1205	155- Mu	Samp	ling go	mplete.	Well S	ecure	4	100 Shi 200300 Shi kategari	

<b>ISFSI</b> Radiation H	Protection
--------------------------	------------

Γ

GROUND WAT	<u>TER SAMPLING FIELD LOG</u> <u>Form 1</u>	
Sample Location <u>MW-1000</u> Sampling Team <u>M. Van Noordennen</u> Date <u>3-2-10</u>	Well Designation MW-10) Sample Period March 2010 Time 1435 - 1720	
Measuring Point TOR Well Depth (from measuring point) (D) Depth to water (DTW) Length of Water Column (LWC) Volume of Water in Well (VW)	Depth to Mid Screen Diameter of Well $2.0$ 91.50 $42.3\delta$ 48.8 (ft) (LWC= 2.81 gal C	(ft) (in) (ft) (ft) =D-DTW) conversion factor 0.16
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3	3) <u>23.43 (gal)</u>	
		<u>unos de constante de la constante de la constante de la constante</u>

At Time of Measurements:	Odor Slight organic
Total volume purged 1.90 gal Purging method Rladder - Low flow	Duration of purging $\mathcal{Da}_{M,N}$ . Did well go dry? $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}$
Weather conditions <u>Cloudy</u> , 35°F	

Pump Serial Number 5051-03
Water Quality Monitor Serial Number Horby U.22 Mars-09, HACH 2100P Mo24-20
Analyses Requested 1-Spec, Sc-90, H-3
DTW 45.68
Previous Final Readings: pH Cond Turb DO Temp Ord DT Turb

Flow 100 3H 8210

RP-05 Rev. 3

.

#### WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS MW.1070 Form 2 March 2010 Sample Round Current Readings ORP DTW Time Cond Turb D.0 Temp Comments pH (min) (NTU) (°C) 3210 (mg/L) (mv) (feet) mS/cm +/-10% +/-10 mv +/- 0.1 +/- 3% +/- 10% +/- 1 E 0 Purge at lod Mining 150) 42.70 NA <10NTU std.unit 5 1512 6.40 8.3 44.05 0.304 6.85 6.85 43 10 151) 44.06 8.25 6.10 6.53 0.306 8.1 -44 1522 15 0.299 12.) 44.43 6.59 5.38 28 -53 20 152) 0.296 10.9 8.2 -61 44.8) 6.64 4.84 25 -)) 0.295 45.1) 1532 6.67 14.2 4.57 8.3 30 8:3 153) 0.296 12.5 -89 45.45 6.69 4.43 35 8.2 1542 11.) 0.302 4.35 6.20 -100 45.51 154) 40 11.6 8.2 45.61 0.30) 6.20 4.26 -10) 45 1552 -116 8.3 45.78 4.18 7.2) 6-315 6.70 50 155) 8.3 45.92 8.29 0.325 4.13 6.70 -122 55 1602 83 45.9) 4.09 0.333 6.73 670 -122 1607 60 46.05 0.33) 4.07 8.2 6.69 4.79 -122 65 637 1612 6.68 5342 4.06 8.0 -122 46.11 70 3.81 28 46.07 1617 6.68 0.344 4.05 -123 75 M) Collect sample) 1619. SOM 1720 Sampling complete -Well secure 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120

ISFSI Radiation Protection			RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WATER SA ForSample LocationMW-10)ESampling TeamM- Van NoordennumDate3-3-10Time	MPLING FIELD L m 1 Designation MW 10 e Period March 7 1450 - 1710	<u>OG</u> 07 <i>E</i> 1010	
Measuring Point TOR Well Depth (from measuring point) (D) Depth to water (DTW) Length of Water Column (LWC) Volume of Water in Well (VW) Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3)	Depth to Mid Scree Diameter of 32.28 5.16 15.48	en Well2 59.90 27.62 (ft) (LW gal (gal)	(ft) (in) (ft) (ft) C=D-DTW) Conversion Factor(6)
At Time of Measurements: Color Total volume purged Purging method Low Flaw bladder Weather conditions Weather conditions Weather conditions Weather conditions Weather conditions Market Color Weather conditions Market Color Weather conditions Clear Clear Total volume purged Not Clear Purging method Low Flaw bladder Weather conditions Clear Clear Clear Not Clear Weather conditions Summy, Windy, 35 F	Odor <u>Slight</u> Duration of purg Did well go dry?	organic ing 22 No	min

Pump Serial Number <u>Dradder</u> <u>Sost-05</u> Water Quality Monitor Serial Number <u>Horba U-22</u> Mois-09, <u>HACH 21609</u> Mo24-20 Analyses Requested <u>Y Spec</u>, <u>Sc-90</u>, <u>14-3</u>

Previous Final Readings: pH 8.02 Cond 0.202 Turb > 34 DO 0.00 Temp > > ORP - 204 DTW 28.44 Flow 100 3H 4/6 50

				WATE	R QUALIT	Y PARA	METER	<u>S</u>	Mil us	. The second sec
	n. 1.	D		<u>^</u>	For	<u>m 2</u>		910411111111111111111111111111111111111	1100-10)	
	Sample	Kound	March	2010	-		•			
	•				Current	Readings	· .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3 2.10	Time (min)	pH	Cond	Turb (NTU)	D.0	Temp (°C)	ORP	DTW	Cor	nments
J. J. V.			mS/cm	100/	(mg/L)	1/15	(mv)	(leet)		
1500	U	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%0		-7-10 mV	27.62	Phose est	100 M /min
1505	5	6.36	0.197	10-3	6.70	2.1	114	28.17		
1510	10	643	0-199	6.02	4.69	2.)	25	28.36		
1515	15	6.46	0-200	5.85	4.26	2.5	-26	28.35		<i>9</i> .
1520	20	6.53	0.199	5.19	4.05	).5	-54	28.47		
1525	25	658	0.199	3.62	3.96	7.6	-72	28.52		
1530	30	6.61	0.199	2.95	3.91	7.6	-90	28.52		
1535	35	6.63	0-199	3.76	3.90	2.4	- 88	28.55		
1540	40	6.66	0.200	2.72	3.91	7.2	- 93	29.55		
1545	45	6.68	0-200	1.68	3.89	2.8	-98	28.55		
1550	50	600	0.199	1.55	3.87	6.9	-103	28.57		
1555	55	672	0-199	1.32	3.86	6-8	-107	28.57		
1600	60	6.74	0.199	1.67	3:84	6.7	-109	28-61		NAME AND ADDRESS ADDRES
1605	65	675	0.199	1.43	3.82	6.8	-111	28.61		agannangunya dinama Mésakira kanda sakirangan punangungan
1610	70	6.77	0-199	1.03	3.80	6.6	-113	28.61	$\checkmark$	
162	75 W	Colle	ct san	nples -			nan dago naga tara kana dago na k			
	80 april	Samp	live co	molete.	well s	ecure	Manager (1997)			
	85	•								<b>Na Mana</b> and a subscription of the subscription of
	90									
	95									ananakan ora-aray dahadahiy yokan yokan se
И,	100									
	105							. 		
	110									
	115									,
	120					-				

GROUND WAT	FER SAMPLING FIELD LOG
	Form 1
Sample Location MW107F	Well Designation <u>MW107F</u>
Sampling Team RENE AUBE	Sample Period <u>MARCH 2010</u>
Date 3/3/10	Time 1641 (SAMPLE)
START & 1440	END @ 1745
	Depth to Mid Screen(ft)
Measuring Point TOR	Diameter of Well 2.0 (in)
Well Denth (from measuring point) (D)	<u> </u>
Depth to water (DTW)	<u>27,98</u> (ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	28.63 (ft) (LWC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	4,5808 gal Conversion
	Factor <u>, i6</u>
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW $x$	3) <u>13.7424 (gal)</u>
At Time of Measurements.	
At time of Measurements.	Odor NONE
Total violume purged 2,496	Duration of purging 96 MIN
Pursing method Care Put MP B	LADDER Did well go dry?
Weather conditions Full OVERCAS	ST. COLD, SNOWING LIGHTLY.
weather conditions / cicc - voice / -	
SAOS-	40 505102
Pump Serial Number	M015-05
Water Quality Monitor Serial Number	9× H-3
Analyses Requested	- 10, 11 0

Previous Final Readings: pH<sup>>95</sup> Cond <sup>0.23</sup>/<sub>2</sub>Turb <sup>1.59</sup> DO <u>0.00</u> Temp <del>8.5</del> ORP <u>19</u> DTW <u>28</u> 14 Flow <u>100</u> <sup>3</sup>H <u>81</u>50

			,	WATE	<u>R QUALI 1</u> For	<u>Y PARAI</u> m 2	METERS	2		
- 1	Sample	Round	MAR	2CH 2	2010		Mu	1107		
			•		Current Readings					
	Time	pН	Cond	Turb	D.O	Temp	ORP	DTW	Comments	
	(min)		mS/cm		(mg/L)		(mv)	(feet)		
1505	BEGIN AIRGE	+/- 0.1 * std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		Purge @ 100 ML/min	
1510	5	7.00	,205	9,33	4.81	7.0	181	28,20	) 	
1515	10	7.00	,207	7,87	3.98	7.3	172	28,36	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1520	15	6.99	,213	7.19	0.88	7.9	159	28,46		
1525	20	7.00	,215	6.57	0,00	8.3	134	28,50	<b>)</b>	
1530	25	6.99	.218	5,89	0,00	8.3	75	28.53		
1535	30	6.98	.219	5.14	0,00	8.2	35	28,55	e	
1540	35	6.99	. 219	4.02	0,00	8.2	3	28.57	6 9	
1545	40	6,98	,220	3.17	0,00	8.2	-22	28,59		
1550	45	6.99	. 221	2.95	0,00	8.1	- 40	28.61		
1555	50	6,99	,223	2.86	0.00	8,0	-50	28.62		
1600	55	6,98	.225	2.66	000	8.1	-61	28.63		
1605	- 60	6,97	,227	2.54	0,00	8.0	-70	28:64		
1610	65	6.97	.229	2.51	0.00	8.0	- 75	28:65		
1615	- 70	6.97	,230	2.47	0,00	7.9	-79	28,66		
1620	75	6,97	.231	2.40	0.00	7.9	-85	28.67		
1625	80	6.96	,232	2.38	0,00	7.9	-89	28.68		
1630	85	6.95	,232	2,35	0.00	7.9	-92	28.69		
1635	- 90	6,96	,233	2.36	0.00	7.8	-95	28,69		
1640	95	6.95	,233	2.35	0.00	7.8	-98	28.69		
K41	100	COLLE	CT SA	MPLE.	\$					
	105				-					
	110									
	115	· · ·								
	120									

FSI Radiation Protection	RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WATE	R SAMPLING FIELD LOG
	Form 1
mple Location <u>SP-1</u> W	Vell Designation SP-1
mpling Team M. Van Naarlennen Sa	ample Period March 2610
nte <u>3-3-10</u> Ti	ime_0830-2840
	Depth to Mid Screen(ft)
Measuring Point	Diameter of Well(in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	(ft
Depth to water (DTW)	(ft
Length of Water Column (LWC)	(ft) (LWC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	gal Conversion
	A Factor
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3).	(gal)
At Time of Measurements:	
Color Clear	Odor None
Fotal volume purged N/A	Duration of purging N/A
Purging method $N/A$	Did well go dry? NA
Weather conditions SNAWY, 35°F	
Weather conditions	
Pump Soriel Number	
Water Quality Monitor Serial Number Harit	~ 4.22 MOIS-09 HACH 2100P MO24-20
Applying Requested VAC metals X-5	arec. 56-90. H-3
maryses requested <u>we provide the provident of the provid</u>	

3-3-10

			<u>WATE</u>	<u>R QUALIT</u> For	rm 2	MELERS	2	SP-1
Sample	Round	March	2010	-				
	· ·	•		Current	Readings			
Time (min)	рН	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
0 Mond	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		
8 0840	5.87	0344	4.44	17.49	6.2	182	NA	
10								· · ·
15								
20								
25			-					
30								
35								
40					1			
45								
50						·		
55			1	-		7		
60				· · ·				
65								
70								
75			· ·					
80								
85								
90								
95								
100								
105				·				
110					1			
115			-					
120								

SFSI Radiation Protection			RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WA	TER SA	MPLING FIELD LOG	
	<u>m 1</u>		
Sample Location SUS-1			
Sampling Team M. Van Noordenken	_Sample	Period March 2010	
Date 3-3-10	Time_	0945-1010	
		Depth to Mid Screen	(ft)
Measuring Point		Diameter of Well	(in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)			(ft)
Depth to water (DTW)			(ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	N	(ft) (I	LWC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	$-\lambda$	gal	Conversion
			Factor
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 1	3).	(gal)	
			ne na
			n na mar an an an an Anna ann ann ann ann ann an
At Time of Measurements:			
Color Clear		Odor Clight organiz	9 
Total volume purged N/A		Duration of purging $\sqrt{1}$	1
Purging method NM		Did well go dry?	
Weather conditions Snowy, 35 cf			
			an a
	Han Addition and a state of the		An de la desemblemente en title de set agent en tel de set and de s
Pump Serial Number			
Water Quality Monitor Serial Number H	orbe U.	22 mois-09, HACH 2100 f	mo14-20
Analyses Requested VOC, CN, Sou, NO	3.05,5	ros, alkalmity, coo, me	tals
	7		
Previous Final Readings: nH 52)Cond 00	DTurb Le	12 DO 13/3 Temp 1.33 ORP 232	DTW
Flow <sup>3</sup> H -			•

			WATE	<u>For</u>	<u>Y PAKA</u> m 2	MELERS	<u></u>	54.1
Sample	Round	March	1010	101				
Current Readings								
Time (min)	pH	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
0	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		
5 1010	4.77	0.028	1.10	10.72	1.5	261	NIA	
10								
15			-					
20								
25								
30			er annen					
35								
40								
45			-					
50								
55								
60								
65								
70								· .
75							·	
80	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	- <u> </u>				
85				-				e waarmaan and Bernenen namma an Adelber op dich fra Franzessen (son a reasonada a 400 dich b.
90								
95								
100	-							
105								
110				<b>1400 D. 400</b>				
115								
120							1	

3.3.10

ISFSI Radiation Protection			RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WAT	TER SA	MPLING FIELD LOG	
	For	<u>m 1</u>	
Sample Location SW-2	_Well D	Designation 540-2	
Sampling Team M. Van Noordennen	Sample	e Period March 2010	
Date 33-10	Time_	0920-0945	
		Depth to Mid Screen	(ft)
Measuring Point		Diameter of Well	(in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)			(ft)
Depth to water (DTW)	1		(ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	N	(ft) (L	WC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	A	gal	Conversion
			Factor
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3	<b>3)</b> .	(gal)	
1			
		n an	<u></u>
At Time of Measurements:			
Color Clear		Odor <u>Slight organ</u>	2
Total volume purged N/A		Duration of purging NIA	
Purging method		Did well go dry?/A	s.
Weather conditions Snowy, 35 °F			
	-		
			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Pump Serial Number			
Water Quality Monitor Serial Number _H	ariba L	1.22 Mo15-09, HACH 2100	re mo24-20
Analyses Requested VOC, CN, NA3, SD,	1, CF, T	OS, alkalinity, coo, met	als.
Previous Final Readings: pH >.46 Cond e.o.2	Turb 1.2	24 DO 9.52 Temp 143 ORP 52 ]	DTW
$Flow - {}^{3}H -$			

				WATE	R QUALI	<b>FY PARA</b>	METERS	5	51.9
r					Fo	<u>rm 2</u>		Rectification and the second	NOTR
	Sample	Round	March	2010			•		
Ī		-	•		Current	Readings			
	Time (min)	pН	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
	0	+/- 0.1	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		
0	5 0345	633	0.027	4.12	15.02	1.1	91	NA	
	10				1.5		-		an ann an San Ann an
	15		1						
	20			·					
	25								
	30			-					
	35								
	40								
	45				·				-
	50	-							
	55	-	ma ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana a						
	60	· ·							
	65								
	70				-			<u> </u>	
	75								
•	/ 3								
	80	-							
	85					-			
	90		·					<u> </u>	
	95								
	100								
	105								
	110								
	115								
	120								

SFSI Radiation Protection			RP-05 Rev. 3
CROUND WA	FFR SAMPLI	NG FIELD LOG	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
GROUNDWA	Form 1	<u>(() 11000 200</u>	
Sample Location SLU-3	Well Designat	tion Sw-3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sampling Team M. Van Noordennen	_Sample Period	March 2010	
Date 3-3-10	Time_085	0-0920	
	Dept	h to Mid Screen	(ft)
Measuring Point		Diameter of Well	(in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)		and the second se	(ft)
Depth to water (DTW)			(ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	N	(ft) (L	WC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	- A	gal	Conversion
			Factor
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 1	3).	(gal)	
a transformati da ilizza energetta a filo e a constanta da antenna en en antenna de antenna de antenna de anten			
At Time of Measurements:			
ColorClear	Od	or Slight organic	
Total volume purged <u>J/A</u>	Du	ration of purging N	1
Purging method	Did	l well go dry?	
Weather conditions Snowy, 35'f	_		
	ny additionan an		
		`	
Pump Serial Number	and the state of the		
Water Quality Monitor Serial Number <u>H</u>	or.159, U.22 M	1015-09, HACH 2100	P M024-20
Analyses Requested VOC, CN, Soy, No	3, CT, TDS, A	likelinity, coD, meta	us ·
Previous Final Readings: pH 522 Cond	aTurb <u>asyDO</u>	576 Temp 0 7 ORP 223 1	DTW
Flow ~ <sup>3</sup> H ~			

			· .	WATE	<u>R QUALF</u> Fo	<u>rm 2</u>	METER	2	SW-3
	Sample	Round	march	2010			_	4900-0420-0420-0420-0420-0420-0420-0420-	
				V	Current	Readings			<u>a na sa /u>
	Time (min)	pН	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
<b>,</b>	0 Ani	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/-1E	+/-10 mv		
3-3-10	50920	6.45	0.028	3.69	17.13	0.9	40	N/A	
	10			· · ·					
	15			-					
	20								
	25					an parte and define of the state of the stat			
	30								
	35								
	40		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·					
	45								
	50	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
	55							-	
	60								
	65			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	70								
	75					· · ·			
	80								
	85	-	1			-			
	90								
	95								
	100								
	105					······································			
	110					-		1	
	115			-					
	120							<u> </u>	

Page 11 of 40

SFSI Radiation Protection	RP-05 Rev. 3
	CAMPANIC FIFLD LOC
GROUND WATER	SAMPLING FIELD LOG Form 1
	II Designation S()-4
ample Location <u>) W-4 - 3977</u> Wel	In Designation
Sampling Team M. Van Noordennen Sam	$\frac{0955 - 1005}{100}$
Date $3 \times 10$ 1 im	
	Donth to Mid Soreen (ft)
	Diamater of Well (in)
Measuring Point	Diameter of Wen(m)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	(11)
Depth to water (DTW)	
Length of Water Column (LWC)	
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	gai Conversion
	Factor
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3)	(gal)
Aug 3.2-11)	
At Time of Measurements:	
Color Clear	Odor_Slight organic
Total volume purged N/A	Duration of purging N/A
Purging method N/A	Did well go dry?
Weather conditions Shany, 35-6	
Dump Social Number N/A	
Wester Quality Manifer Serial Number Hack	0 4.2.7. MO15-09 HACH 21008 M024-20
And the Requested VAC COD CN Metals	Nitrate, Chloride, Sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
Analyses Requested	
The second second second second	the DOW Temple ORP 16 DTW -
Previous Final Readings: pH 607 Cond 0055 Tu	
FlowH	

			WATE	<u>R QUALI.</u> Fo	I Y PARA	VIE LERS	2	SW-4
Sample	Round	March	2010					
		1.1410h	. 4010	Current	Readings			
Time (min)	pH	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
0	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		
BOM (AS)	6.45	0.028	3.41	13.85	1.0	51	NA	collect samples
10								
15								
20								
25								
30					·			
35								
40								
45								
50								
55				-				
60								
65		*****						
70				-		· ·		
75		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
80					-			
85								
90								
95				-				
100		ware an angeletite control of a state						
105								
110								
115								
120								

ISFSI Radiation Protection	RP-05 Rev. 3
GROUND WAT	TER SAMPLING FIELD LOG
	Form 1
Sample Location SW-5 SCFA	Well Designation SW-S
Sampling Team M. Van Noodennen	Sample Period 2010
Date3-2-10	Time_1020-1050
	Depth to Mid Screen(ft)
Measuring Point	Diameter of Well(in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	(ft)
Depth to water (DTW)	(ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	(ft) (LWC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	gal Conversion
	Factor
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x $3$	(gal)
04 1 22 10	
- 4M 3-A-10	
At Time of Measurements:	
Color Clear	Odor Slight organic
Total volume purged N/A	Duration of purging $N/A$
Purging method W/A	Did well go dry?
Weather conditions Sunny, 35°F	
Pump Serial Number	
Water Quality Monitor Serial Number Ha	15:16 U-22 MOIS-09, HACH 21008 MO24-28
Analyses Requested VOC, COO, CN, Meta	als, nitrate, chlorde, sulfate, TOS, alkalihity
Previous Final Readings: pH 5-63 Cond 0-03	Turbe & DO 10 & Temp 1.6 ORP 161 DTW -
$Flow - {}^{3}H$	

				WATE:	R QUALII	Y PARA	METER	5	CILE
			Υ. Υ		For	<u>m 2</u>			360-3
	Sample	Round	mard	2 2010					
	4.		•		Current	Readings			· · ·
	Time (min)	pH	Cond	Turb (NTU)	D.0	Temp (°C)	ORP	DTW	Comments
	()		mS/cm		(mg/L)		(mv)	(Teet)	
,	0	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/-1E	+/-10 mv		
3-2-10	\$ 1050	6.42	0.022	1.31	12.54	0.9	91	NA	Gllect samples
	10				-				, е ,
	15	an a			·	and the second			
	20								
	25							-	
	30								
	35								
	40					1			
	45								
	50								
	55								
	60								
	65								
	70								
•	75					· ·			
	80								
	85								
	90								
	95	·							
· · ·	100								
	105								
	110							-	
	115								
	120								

RP-05 Rev. 3

Page 11 of 40

ISFSI	Radiation	Protection
-------	-----------	------------

Sample LocationSWOIIWell DesignationSWOIISampling TeamRENEAUBESample PeriodMANCHDate3/3/10Time12.30(SAMP)	<u>2010</u> LE)
A SURFACE WATER SAMPLE A Depth to Mid Screen Diameter of Well	NA (ft) NA (in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)	$\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}$ (ft) $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}$ (ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC) $\mathcal{NA}$ (ft)Volume of Water in Well (VW) $\mathcal{NA}$ gal	(LWC=D-DTW) Conversion
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3) $NA$ (gal)	)

At Time of Measurements:	
Color CLEAR	Odor NONE
Total volume purged <u>NA</u>	Duration of purging NA
Purging method GEOPUMP	Did well go dry?NA
Weather conditions FULL OVERCAST,	COLD, LITE SNOW.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Pump Serial Number	5008-40			
Water Ouality Monitor	Serial Number MC	No. of the second s		
Analyses Requested	spec, Sr.90, H-3	metals		
	Several Control of Seve			
Previous Final Readings	: pH())CondessaTurb	1.44 DO 12.25 Temp a 40	ORP 183 DTW	مەت / مەت بەر م مەت بەر مەت بەر
	Flow 3H < MOA			. ,

RP-05 Rev. 3

# RP-05 Rev. 3

#### WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

	•	n N		For	<u>m 2</u>		Concerning and the second second second	
Sample	e Round	MARC	CH 20	10		SW	011	
•	•		, 	Current	Readings			
Time (min)	pH	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
0	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		
5	SURF	ACE	WATER	SAM	DLE	RECO	RD	
10	ONE	SET F	FIELD I	DATA				
15	7.76	.037	2,10	9.22	1.1	153	0.00	
20	COUE	CT SA	MPIE	5.				
25								
30								
35			· · ·					
40								
45		and the second						
50							The party of the second state of the second state	
55				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
60								
65								
70								
75								
80								
85								
90				<b>N 2019 (1970)</b>				
95	-		- - -					
100								
105						-		
110							· · ·	
115	······································							
120								

1225 1230
FSI Radiation Protection			Rev. 3
GROUND WAT	<u>FER SA</u> For	MPLING FIELD LOG m 1	
ample Location SW-408	Well I	Designation 5-0-408	
ampling Team M. Von Noordeanon	Sample	e Period March 2010	
ate 33.10	Time	1140-1200	
		Depth to Mid Screen	(ft)
Measuring Point		Diameter of Well	(in)
Well Depth (from measuring point) (D)			(ft)
Depth to water (DTW)	. )		(ft)
Length of Water Column (LWC)	N	(ft) (LV	VC=D-DTW)
Volume of Water in Well (VW)	-11	gal	Conversion
			Factor
Volume of Purge (VTP) (VTP = VW x 3	3).	(gal)	
			agan tanan katalan kat
At Time of Measurements:			
Color Clear		Odor None	
Total volume purged N/W		Duration of purging $N/A$	
Purging method N/A		Did well go dry? N/A	
Weather conditions SN may . 35°F	2960-75-75 2 <sup>1</sup>		
weather conditions_streamy roo t			
	, 		, <u>androget property and a state of the state of the state of the state</u>
		1999-1999 - 1999-1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 199	
N/A			
Pump Senai Number	laribe (	4.22 MOIS-09 HACH 21001	0 moz4-20
water Quality Monitor Serial Number 1	5-	-90 H.2	
Analyses Requested manalysis Jpe	C. J:	10,11.2	-
	8m. 1 is	1 DQ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Γ\\ <i>I</i>
revious Final Readings: pH <u>4</u> Cond <u>0</u>	$\frac{11 \text{ urb } 0}{000}$	<u>6 DU 10471 emp 3 &amp; UKP 201 D</u>	1 VV

Page 10 of 40

# ISFSI Radiation Protection

i

			т. Х		Fo	<u>rm 2</u>			50-408
	Sample	Round	March	2010	-		•		
		·. •		1	Current	Readings			· · · ·
	Time (min)	рН	Cond mS/cm	Turb (NTU)	D.O (mg/L)	Temp (°C)	ORP (mv)	DTW (feet)	Comments
	0 Jul	+/- 0.1 std.unit	+/- 3%	+/- 10% NA <10NTU	+/-10%	+/- 1 E	+/-10 mv		
3.3.10	5 1200	636	0.035	2.93	12.56	1.6	154	NA	
	15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	25					-			
	30								
	40								
	45 50								
	55			-			······································		
	60 65								
	70				•				
•	80								
	85 90								
	95								
	100 105								
	110								
	115								

## WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

RP-05 Rev. 3 **APPENDIX B** 

## ANALYTICAL DATA - MARCH 2010

**APPENDIX B-1** 

## **RADIOLOGICAL DATA-MARCH 2010**

#### APPENDIX B-1 Radiological Data - March 2010

#### Yankee Nuclear Power Station

	Sample Deliv	ery Group		3Y-YR-0	03		3Y-YR-0	03		3Y-YR-0	03		3Y-YR-0	03	3Y-YR-003		03
	Lab	Sample Id		2488110	01		2488110	02		2488110	03		2488110	04		2488110	05
	,	Location		MW-102	2D		MW-104	A		MW-104	A		MW-105	5B		MW-106	ŝА
	Sa	mple Date		3/4/2010			3/2/201	0		3/2/201	0		3/4/201	0	3/4/2010		0 .
		Sample ID		MW-102	2D		MW-104	A	N	W-104A[	DUP		MW-105	δB		MW-106	ы́А
		Qc Code		FS			FS			FD			FS			FS	1
Analysis	Parameter	Units	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty
EPA 901.1	Antimony-125	pCi/L	-3.77	U	5.68	-0.657	U	4.56	-4.11	U	6.11	-0.566	U	5.62	0.825	U	5.42
EPA 901.1	Cesium-134	pCi/L	-0.61	U	2.49	1.65	U	2.02	-0.343	U	3.46	-0.0093	U	2.58	-1.68	U	2.35
EPA 901.1	Cesium-137	pCi/L	-0.217	U	2.38	0.219	U	1.97	0.12	U	2.43	-4.16	υ	2.77	-0.595	U	1.89
EPA 901.1	Cobalt-60	pCi/L	0.33	U	2.24	-0.964	U	1.99	-0.027	U	2.27	1.18	U	2.42	-0.861	U	1.99
EPA 901.1	Europium-152	pCi/L	-5.95	U	6.23	1.79	U	4.99	-1.46	U	7.09	0.206	U	5.97	-1.92	U	5.6
EPA 901.1	Europium-154	pCi/L	-0.0912	U	5.59	-3.69	U	4.85	-1.27	U	6.14	-3.06	U	6.54	4.53	U	5.7
EPA 901.1	Europium-155	pCi/L	-6.98	U	9	-8.7	U	6.49	-9.89	U	9.36	-0.547	U	8.37	2.48	U	6.62
EPA 901.1	Niobium-94	pCi/L	-0.367	U	1.98	0.218	U	1.6	1.44	U	1.87	3.31	U	2.04	0.412	U	1.57
EPA 901.1	Silver-108	pCi/L	-0.486	U	1.82	-0.034	U	1.55	0.175	U	2.08	-0.904	U	1.79	-0.584	U	1.76
EPA 905.0 Modified	Strontium-90	pCi/L	0.679	U	0.943	0.47	U	0.941	-0.813	U	0.709	0.504	U	0.96	0.468	U	0.917
EPA 906.0 Modified	Tritium	pCi/L	101	U	104	967		261	774		224	3890		820	530		180
Notes:																	
FS = Field Sample																	
FD = Field Duplicate					·							2					
EB = Equipment Blan	k																
pCi/L = Picocuries pe	r liter																
U = Not detected	· .																

#### APPENDIX B-1 Radiological Data - March 2010

#### Yankee Nuclear Power Station

	Sample Deliv	Sample Delivery Group 3Y-YR-003			3Y-YR-003				3Y-YR-0	03	3Y-YR-003			3Y-YR-003		03	
	Lab	Sample Id		2488110	06		2488110	07		2488110	08		2488110	09		2488110	10
		Location		MW-107	°C		MW-107	'D		MW-107	Έ		MW-107	7F	P	<i>l</i> onroe D	am
	Sa	mple Date		3/4/201	0		3/2/2010			3/3/201	0 .		3/3/201	0		3/3/2010	
		Sample ID		MW-107	°C	· .	MW-107	'D		MW-107	E		MW-107	7F	M	/Ionroe D	am
		Qc Code		FS			FS			FS		1.0	FS			FS	
Analysis	Parameter	Units	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty
EPA 901.1	Antimony-125	pCi/L	3.57	U	4.52	-2.54	U	5.82	-1.44	U	4.99	-3.21	U	4.6	1.05	U	5.51
EPA 901.1	Cesium-134	pCi/L	1.19	U	2.22	1.95	U	3.56	-1.83	U	2.19	1.44	U	2	-0.439	U	2.45
EPA 901.1	Cesium-137	pCi/L	-0.5	U	1.75	-1.75	U	3.16	0.365	U	2.18	0.725	U	1.65	0.871	U	2.06
EPA 901.1	Cobalt-60	pCi/L	1.2	U	1.76	0.203	U	2.75	3.37	U	2.19	0.163	U	1.77	0.804	U	1.75
EPA 901.1	Europium-152	pCi/L	-2.51	U	5.26	-1.58	U	6.12	-0.874	U	5.74	-1.16	U	5.36	1.32	U	6.25
EPA 901.1	Europium-154	pCi/L	0.769	U	4.65	0.832	U	7.35	-1.16	υ	5.27	2.78	U	4.25	-0.9	U	5.47
EPA 901.1	Europium-155	pCi/L	-1.22	U	5.27	4.13	U	7.02	-4.76	U	6.88	2.23	U	6.03	0.595	U	8.72
EPA 901.1	Niobium-94	pCi/L	-0.221	U	1.58	0.597	U .	2.3	0.6	U	1.82	-0.009	U	1.47	1.66	U	2.16
EPA 901.1	Silver-108	pCi/L	-1.17	U	1.53	-0.787	U	1.84	1.07	υ	1.57	-1.26	U	1.6	-0.178	U	1.92
EPA 905.0 Modified	Strontium-90	pCi/L	0.374	U	0.918	0.671	U	0.909	-0.0495	U	0.844	0.104	U	0.843	-0.367	U	0.76
EPA 906.0 Modified	Tritium	pCi/L	20100		3950	7280		1480	5470		1130	8940		1800	39.8	U	101
Notes:				*****													
FS = Field Sample							• •										
FD = Field Duplicate																1	
EB = Equipment Blan	k			*****													
pCi/L = Picocuries pe	r liter																
U = Not detected																	

#### APPENDIX B-1 Radiological Data - March 2010

#### Yankee Nuclear Power Station

l	Sample Deliv	ery Group		3Y-YR-0	03		3Y-YR-0	03		3Y-YR-0	03		3Y-YR-0	03
	Lab	Sample Id		2488110	)11		2488110	12		2488110	13		2488110	14
		Location		SP-1			SW-01	1		SW-40	В .		QC	
	Sa	mple Date		3/3/201	0		3/3/201	0 .		3/3/201	0		3/4/201	0
		Sample ID		SP-1			SW-01	1		SW-40	В		EB-003	3
·	1	Qc Code		FS			FS			FS		EB		
Analysis	Parameter	Units	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty
EPA 901.1	Antimony-125	pCi/L	-1.22	U	4.64	-2.15	U	5.58	-1.08	U	6.09	7.12	U	5.39
EPA 901.1	Cesium-134	pCi/L	0.758	U	2.09	1.23	U	2.29	2.32	U	2.53	2.43	U	2.24
EPA 901.1	Cesium-137	pCi/L	0.345	U	1.76	0.163	U	1.93	-1.51	U	2.32	0.697	U	1.89
EPA 901.1	Cobalt-60	pCi/L	1.01	U	1.57	0.028	U	2.44	-0,396	U	2.39	-1.03	U	1.94
EPA 901.1	Europium-152	pCi/L	-3.72	U	4.8	4.19	U	6.15	0.542	U	6.2	2.47	U	6.15
EPA 901.1	Europium-154	pCi/L	-1.11	U	5.49	0.847	U	6.15	4.29	U	6.83	-1.54	U	5.33
EPA 901.1	Europium-155	pCi/L	-1.16	U	6.37	7.04	U	7.72	4.81	U	8.82	-1.77	U	7.42
EPA 901.1	Niobium-94	pCi/L	1.34	U	1.74	-0.214	U	1.79	0.796	U	2.12	-0.0061	U	1.95
EPA 901.1	Silver-108	pCi/L	0.014	U	1.49	-1.71	U	1.76	-0.95	U	1.94	-0.908	U	1.66
EPA 905.0 Modified	Strontium-90	pCi/L	0.708	U	0.94	-0.108	U	0.832	0.109	U	0.872	-0.273	U	0.794
EPA 906.0 Modified	Tritium	pCi/L	244		129	94.1	U	104	74.3	U	99.7	-13.5	U	88.3
Notes:					1. A.									
FS = Field Sample														-
FD = Field Duplicate														
EB = Equipment Blan	ik													-
pCi/L = Picocuries pe	er liter													
U = Not detected														



#### Data Validation Summary Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts SDG: 3Y-YR-003

#### Introduction:

Nine groundwater samples, four surface water samples, and one equipment blank were collected March 2, 2010, through March 4, 2010, at the Yankee Nuclear Power Station, located in Rowe, Massachusetts. The samples were analyzed for one or more of the following parameters: Tritium by Liquid Scintillation, Strontium-90 by GFPC, and Gamma Isotopes (Co-60, Cs-134, Cs-137, Nb-94, Sb-125, Eu-152, Eu-154, Eu-155, and Ag-108m) by Gamma Spectroscopy. Sample analyses for all parameters were performed by GEL Laboratories, LLC, located in Charleston, South Carolina.

A chemist review was performed on all samples and analyses using information supplied by the laboratory. The data package was validated using SAIC guidance – "Laboratory Data Validation Guidelines for Evaluating Radionuclide Analyses" (April 2002), DOE Guidance – "Evaluation of Radiochemical Data Usability" (April, 1997), and the Yankee Nuclear Power Station Groundwater Monitoring Program, Document RP-05, Rev. 3 (June 16, 2009).

The following samples collected during March 2010 are included in the data evaluation:

Field Sample ID	GEL ID	Sample Date	Comment
MW-102D	248811001	3/4/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
MW-104A	248811002	3/2/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
MW-104ADUP	248811003	3/2/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
MW-105B	248811004	3/4/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
MW-106A	248811005	3/4/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
MW-107C	248811006	3/4/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
MW-107D	248811007	3/2/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
MW-107E	248811008	3/3/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
MW-107F	248811009	3/3/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
Monroe Dam	248811010	3/3/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
SP-1	248811011	3/3/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
SW-011	248811012	3/3/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
SW-408	248811013	3/3/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma
EB-003	248811014	3/4/10	Tritium, Sr-90, Gamma

Data were evaluated for the following parameters:

- \* Collection and Preservation
- \* Holding Times
- \* Data Completeness
- \* Tracer Recoveries
- \* Blank Contamination
- \* Duplicates
- \* Laboratory Control Samples
- \* Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates
- \* Target Compound Quantitation Miscellaneous

\* - all criteria were met for this parameter

U:\Yankee Rowe\March 2010 GW\Annual Report\Validation Memo\Rowe\_3Y-YR-003\_RAD.doc



One sample was logged into the laboratory and reported with an incorrect sample identification as noted below. Otherwise, all associated quality control parameters were within control limits, and sample results were determined to be usable as reported by the laboratory.

**Miscellaneous** 

All Parameters – Sample MW-104ADUP was incorrectly logged into the laboratory and reported as MW-104DUP. The sample identification was manually corrected on the hardcopy final results as well as the electronic data deliverable during data validation.

References:

Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 2002. "Laboratory Data Validation Guidelines for Evaluating Radionuclide Analyses." Thomas L Rucker, Ph.D. and C. Martin Johnson, Jr.; Revision 07, April, 2002.

Department of Energy (DOE). "Evaluation of Radiochemical Data Usability." April, 1997.

Yankee Nuclear Power Station, 2009. "YNPS Groundwater Monitoring Program." ISFSI Radiation Protection, RP-05, Rev. 3: June 16, 2009.

Data Validator: Julie Ricardi

U for Julie Ricardi Date: April 9, 2010 Signature

**APPENDIX B-2** 

## CHEMICAL DATA - MARCH 2010

		·	Location	CF	W-6	S	N-4	S	N-5	CF	N-5
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sample Date	2/2	/2010	2/2	2010	2/2/	2010	2/2/	2010
			Sample Date	3/2/	2010	3/2/	2010	3/2/	2010	3/2/1	2010
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Sample ID	CF	·W-6	S	N-4	S∖	V-5		W-5
			Qc Code	F	FS	1	-s	F	S	F	S
Analysis	Fraction	Param Name	Units	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
EPA 8260B	т	1 1 1 2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/l	1	11	1	11	1	11	1	11
	- -	1,1,1,2-1 cuacinor ocularie	ug/L	4						1	
EFA 0200D		1,1,1-Inchloroethane	ug/L	1	U		U	1	U	1	U .
EPA 8260B	l.	1,1,2,2-letrachloroethane	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1.1-Dichloroethane	ug/l	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	11
EPA 8260B	T	1 1 Dichloroothono	ug/L	. 1	U U		U		Ŭ.	1	Ŭ,
			ug/L		0		0	1	0	1	
EPA 8260B	1	1,2,3- I richlorobenzene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	UJ
EPA 8260B	T	1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L	1	U	. 1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1 2-Dichlorobenzene	1/0/1	1	11	1	11	1	11	1	11
EPA 8260B	Т	1.2 Dichloroothano	ug/L		1				<u>.</u>		Ŭ.
			uy/L	· · · ·	0				0		0
EFA 0200B	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L		U		U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	T	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1,3-Dichloropropane	ug/L	1	U	. 1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ua/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U U
EPA 8260B	т	Acetone	ug/L	. 1	11	. 1	Ŭ.		11	1	ü l
		Destant	ug/L		0	1	0	1	0	1	
LEA 0200D	1 	Delizene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	1	Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromoform	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromomethane	ua/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	υl
FPA 8260B	Т	Carbon tetrachloride		1	li.	1	h.	1	<u> </u>	4	<u> </u>
EDA 8260D	T	Chlorohonzono	uy/L	ا م	<u> </u>				<u>.</u>		<u>.                                    </u>
EDA 00000		Chlorobertzene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	<u>U</u>	1	<u>u</u> ·
EPA 8260B	1	Chlorodibromomethane	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Chloroform	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	ua/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	cis_1 3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	1	11		ŭ		<u> </u>	1	
			ug/L		0		0	1	0		
EPA 8260B	1	Etnyi benzene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	0
EPA 8260B	T	Methylene chloride	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Naphthalene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	UJ
EPA 8260B	Т	Styrene	ug/l	1	11	1	11	1	11	1	Ú.
EPA 8260B	T	Tetrachloroothono	ug/L		U U	1		4	11		ŭ
		Teluaciiloioeulelle	ug/L	l	0	1	0		0	1	0
EPA 8260B		loiuene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U .
EPA 8260B	T	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	· 1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Trichloroethene	ua/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	u
EPA 8260B	Т	Vinyl chloride	ug/l	1	11	1	11	1	11	1	<del>.</del> .
		Vulence, Tetel	ug/L	4		4					
EPA 0200B	1	Aylenes, Total	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 6010B	I	Arsenic	mg/L	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	0
EPA 6010B	Т	Barium	mg/L	0.05	U	0.05	U	0.05	U	0.053	
EPA 6010B	Т	Cadmium	ma/L	0.004	U	0.004	U	0.004	U	0.004	U
FPA 6010B	т	Calcium	ma/l	14		24		2	-	28	
EDA 6010B	<u>г</u>	Chromium	mg/L	0.01	11	0.01	11	0.01	11	0.01	
		Chiomun	niy/L	0.01	0	0.01	0	0.01	0	0.01	0
EPA 6010B	1	Copper	mg/L	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U
EPA 6010B	Т	Iron	mg/L	20		0.9		0.27		70	
EPA 6010B	Т	Lead	mg/L	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U
EPA 6010B	Т	Manganese	ma/l	29		0.13		0.044		3.8	
EPA 6010B	τ.	Selenium	ma/l	0.04	11	0.10	11	0.044	11	0.0	I
	+	Cibuor	iliy/L	0.01	0	0.01	0	0.01	5	0.021	<u>.                                    </u>
EPA OUTUB	1	Silver	mg/L	0.005	U	0.005	U	0.005	U	0.005	U
EPA 6010B	1	Sodium	mg/L	2.7		0.65		0.6		2.9	
EPA 6010B	Т	Thallium	mg/L								
EPA 6010B	Т	Zinc	ma/L	0.02	U	0.02	U	0.02	U	0.02	υ
EPA 6010B	D	Arsenic	ma/l		-		-				-
EPA 6010P	n -	Barium	ma/l								
	D		nıy/L								
EPA OUTUB	U	Cadmium	mg/L				· · · · ·		·		
EPA 6010B	ם	Chromium	mg/L					· · · · ·			
EPA 6010B	D	Lead	mg/L								
EPA 6010B	D	Selenium	ma/l				· ·				
EDA 6010B	n	Silvor	mg/L								
	T	Marouni	mg/L	0.0000	1.1	0.0000	11	0.0000		0.0000	
EPA /4/UA	1	wercury	mg/L	0.0002	U	0.0002	U	0.0002	U	0.0002	U
EPA 7470A	ט	Mercury	mg/L		<u> </u>						
EPA 9056	T	Chloride	mg/L	2.7	J	2	UJ	2	UJ	5.1	J
EPA 9056	Т	Nitrate as N	ma/l	0.5	U	0.5	U	05	11	0.5	1
EDA 0056	T	Sulfato	mg/L	4.0	1	4.0	5	0.0	5	0.0	
			nig/L	4.3	J	4.8	J	4.2	J	1	<u>v</u>
HOR-INELAC 3.3.13	1	2-Butanone	ug/L	5	U	5	U	5	U	5	U
non-NELAC 3.3.13	Т	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ug/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	υ
non-NELAC 3.3.13	Т	Methyl Tertbutyl Ether	ua/L	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U I
SM 2320B	Т	Total Alkalinity as CoCO2	 ma/l	71		65		1 1 2	-	110	-
SM 2540 C	- -	Total Dissolved Solida	mc/l		1	0.0		4.5		400	,
ON 4500 CH CC	 		rng/∟	89	J	11	J	4	J	130	J
SIVI 4500 CN-C&E		Cyanide, Lotal	mg/L	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U
SM 5220C	T.	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	12		11	U	11	U	29	

			CF	W-5		)C	CF	W-1	SI	N-1	SI	N-2
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3/2	2010	3/2/	2010	3/3/	2010	3/3/	2010	3/3/	/2010
				5 Dup		005		10/1	12	A/_ 1	SI	A/ 2
······································				-5 Dup		-005				-0		
A		D	1	-0	<b>D</b> 11	D I'C		-3	T Descrit	-3		-3
Analysis	Fraction	Param Name	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
EPA 8260B	1	1,1,1,2-1 etrachloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	T	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U ·	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U	- 1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1,1-Dichloroethane	. 1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1,1-Dichloroethene	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Ť	1 2 4-Trichlorobenzene	1	U.I	1	1	1	Ū	1	Ū.	1	Ū
EPA 8260B	т	1.2. Dibromoethane		11	1	<u>.</u>	1	11	1	U .	1	U ·
EDA 8260D	т Т	1.2 Dichlorobonzono	1		1	<u> </u>	4	11	1		1	
	<u>г</u>	1,2-Dichloroothono		U	4		1	0	1	0		0
	<u>г</u>	1,2-Dichloropropono		0	1	<u>U</u>		0	1	0		0
	1 	1.2-Dichloroproparie	1	0		<u> </u>		0		0		0
EPA 8260B	-	1,3-Dichlorobenzene		U	1	0	1	0	1	0		0
EPA 8260B		1,3-Dichloropropane	1	U	1	<u>U</u>	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	U	1	U	1 1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Acetone	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	υ
EPA 8260B	Т	Benzene	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromodichloromethane	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromoform	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromomethane	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Carbon tetrachloride	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Chlorobenzene	1	Ū	1	Ū	1	U	1	Ü	1	U
EPA 8260B	Ť	Chlorodibromomethane	. 1	U	1	Ū.	1	U	1	U U	1	U U
EPA 8260B	T	Chloroform	1		1	<u> </u>	· ·	U	1	Ŭ	1	U
EPA 8260B	T	Cis_1 2-Dichloroethene	1	Ŭ.	1	11	1		1	U I	1	0
EDA 8260B	т Т	cis 1.2 Dichloropropopo	1	0	1		1		1	0	1	U
	<u>г</u>		1	0	1			0	4	0		0
	1 +	Etriyi Denzene		U				0		U		0
EPA 8260B	-	Methylene chloride		U	1	<u>U</u>		0		U		U
EPA 8260B	<u> </u>	Naphthalene	1	UJ	1	<u>U</u>	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B		Styrene	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Tetrachloroethene	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	T	Toluene	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	υ	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Trichloroethene	1	U	1	U	1	U	. 1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	Т	Vinyl chloride	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 8260B	т	Xvlenes, Total	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U	1	U
EPA 6010B	т	Arsenic	0.01	Ū			0.01	U	0.01	Ŭ	0.01	U
EPA 6010B	т	Barium	0.053				0.05	Ü	0.05	Ü	0.05	ū
EPA 6010B	т	Cadmium	0.004	11			0.004	Ŭ	0.004	Ü	0.004	u
EPA 6010B	Ť	Calcium	27	<b>.</b>			13	<u> </u>	2.6	<b>.</b>	25	<b>U</b>
EPA 6010B	т	Chromium	0.01	11			0.01	11	0.01	11 .	0.01	11
EPA 6010B	Т	Coppor	0.01	U U			0.01	0	0.01		0.01	U
	T	Iron	71	0			57	0	0.01	0	0.01	0
	T		0.01	11			0.01	11	0.032	11	0.037	11
	1 T	Leau	0.01	U			0.01	U	0.01	0	0.01	U
EPA OUTUB	1	Manganese	3.7				0.2		0.01	0	0.01	0
EPA 6010B		Selenium	0.022	J			0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U
EPA OUTUB			0.005	U			0.005	U	0.005	U	0.005	U
EPA 6010B		Sodium	2.9		· · · · · ·		0.81		0.78		0.8	
EPA 6010B		I hallium										
EPA 6010B	1	Zinc	0.02	U			0.02	U	0.02	U	0.02	U
EPA 6010B	D	Arsenic										
EPA 6010B	D	Barium										-
EPA 6010B	D	Cadmium										
EPA 6010B	D	Chromium										
EPA 6010B	D	Lead										
EPA 6010B	D	Selenium		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1. C. 1.			
EPA 6010B	D	Silver										
EPA 7470A	Т	Mercury	0.0002	U			0.0002	U	0.0002	U	0.0002	U
EPA 7470A	D	Mercury		-						-		-
EPA 9056	T	Chloride	5	.1			2 2	U.I	2	U.I	2	UI
EPA 9056	ι. Τ	Nitrate as N	0.5	U			0.5	11		00	0.5	U U
EPA 9056	T	Sulfata	0.0	0			20.0		0.0 E E	-	0.0 E F	<u> </u>
non-NELAC 2 2 42	<b>T</b>	2 Butanono	. 1	U		11	2.0	11	5.5	11	5.5	11
non NELAC 3.3.13	<b>1</b>	4 Mothyl 2 nontonen	<u>ح</u>	0	<b>5</b>		0	U U	C 1			0
HON-INELAC 3.3.13	 	4-ivietriyi-2-pentanone	1		<u>1</u>	0	1	U		U	<u> </u>	U
HON-INELAC 3.3.13	 	Ivietnyi Tertbutyi Ether	1	U	1	U	1 1	U	1	U	1 1	U
SM 2320B	<u>  </u>	I otal Alkalinity, as CaCO3	140		<b> </b>		4.6		5.4		5.4	L
SM 2540 C	Γ	1 otal Dissolved Solids	140	J	ļ		1	UJ	19	J	19	J
SM 4500 CN-C&E	Т	Cyanide, Total	0.01	U			0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	U
SM 5220C	Т	Chemical Oxygen Demand	26				11	U	11	U	11	U

Prepared by:BJS Date:4/12/10 Checked by:BBL Date:4/12/10

		SW-3 SP-1		P-1	(	<u> </u>	SM	/-408	SM	J-011		
			3/3	/2010	3/3	/2010	3/3	/2010	3/3	/2010	3/2	/2010
			5/5	12010	0/0	D 1		006	5/5/ C1A	1 409	0/0/	1011
en e		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	VV-3	. 3	<b>F</b> -1		-006	50	7-408	50	7-011
				FS		-5	-	IB		-5		-5
Analysis	Fraction	Param Name	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
EPA 8260B	Т	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	T	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U				-
EPA 8260B	т	1 1-Dichloroethane	. 1	Ŭ.	1	ŭ .	1	<u> </u>				
	т	1,1-Dichloroothane	4	0	4	0		0				
	<u>і</u> т	1, 1-Dichlorohenene	1	U	1	0		0				
EPA 8260B	-	1,2,3-1 richlorobenzene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	T	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1	U	1	U	1	U			1.1	
EPA 8260B	Т	1,2-Dibromoethane	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	U	1	U	. 1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	1.2-Dichloroethane	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	т	1 2-Dichloropropane	1	U.	1	Ū.	1	11				
EPA 8260B	т	1.3 Dichlorobenzene	1	U U	1	U	1	U				
	T	1,3-Dichlerenzene	1	0	4	0		0				
EPA 0200D	-	1,3-Dichloropropane	1	0	1	U		U				
EPA 8260B	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	Acetone	1	U	- 1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	T	Benzene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromodichloromethane	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromoform	1	Ū.	1	Ū.	1	Ū				
EPA 8260B	T	Bromomethane	1	1	1	-	1	11				
EPA 8260B	<u>+</u>	Carbon tetrachlorido	4		1	1						
			1	0		0		0				
EPA 0200B		Chlorobenzene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	1	Chlorodibromomethane	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	T	Chloroform	1	U	· 1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	T	Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	Ethyl benzene	1	U	1	Ū	1	Ū				
EPA 8260B	T	Methylene chloride	1	Ŭ.	1	U .	1	<u> </u>				
EDA 8260B	T	Nanhthalana	1	0	1	11	4	0				
		Naprilialene Otimonia	4	0	1	U		U				
EPA 8260B		Styrene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	1.	letrachloroethene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	T	Toluene	1	U	. 1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	T	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	U	- 1	U	1	U				
EPA 8260B	Т	trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	1	U	1	U	1	U				
FPA 8260B	Т	Trichloroethene	1	Ü	1	u .	1	II.				
EPA 8260B	T	Vinyl chloride	1	U	1	U ·	1					
	Т	Viriyi chionde	I	0	1	0		0				
EPA 0200D	-	Aylenes, rotai		0	1	U	1	U				
EPA 6010B	<u> </u>	Arsenic	0.01	U	0.005	U						
EPA 6010B	T	Barium	0.05	U	0.026							
EPA 6010B	T .	Cadmium	0.004	U	0.0004	U	1. Sec. 1. Sec		1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -			
EPA 6010B	Т	Calcium	2.4						1.00			
EPA 6010B	Т	Chromium	0.01	U	0.005	U						
EPA 6010B	Т	Copper	0.01	U.								
EPA 6010B	т	Iron	0.01	·								
	т	Lood	0.0		0.002	11						
	1 -	Manager	0.01	0	0.003	0						ŀ
EPA OUTUB	-	Manganese	0.0/4									
EPA 6010B		Selenium	0.01	U	0.01	U						
EPA 6010B	Γ	Silver	0.005	U	0.007	υ		`				
EPA 6010B	Т	Sodium	0.6	· · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
EPA 6010B	Т	Thallium			0.0028	U .		~				
EPA 6010B	Т	Zinc	0.02	U		·						
EPA 6010B	D	Arsenic							0.01	11	0.01	11
EPA 6010B	D	Barium							0.011	0	0.011	<b>.</b>
		Codmium							0.011	11	0.011	
	<u>ר</u>	Caulilium							0.01	0		U
EPA OUTUB	U D	Coromium							0.01	U	0.01	U
EPA 6010B	D	Lead			•				0.01	U	0.01	U
EPA 6010B	D	Selenium	<u> </u>						0.05	U	0.05	U
EPA 6010B	D	Silver							0.01	U	0.01	U
EPA 7470A	Т	Mercury	0.0002	U	0.0002	U						
EPA 7470A	D	Mercury							0.0002	U	0.0002	U
EPA 9056	Т	Chloride	ე	U.I						-	0.0002	-
FPA 9056	т	Nitrate as N	<u>۲</u>	11								
	т Т	Culfata	0.5	U						· · · · ·		
EPA 9050	-	Sunate	4.8		-							
non-NELAC 3.3.13		2-Butanone	5	U	5	U	5	U			·	
non-NELAC 3.3.13	Т	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	1	U	1	U	1	U				
non-NELAC 3.3.13	Т	Methyl Tertbutyl Ether	1	U	1	U	1	U				
SM 2320B	T ·	Total Alkalinity. as CaCO3	5.6									
SM 2540 C	Т	Total Dissolved Solids	13	.1								
SM 4500 CN CRE	т	Cvanida Total	0.04	J								
CINI 4000 CIN-CAE	1 	Oyanide, Total	0.01	0			L					
SIVI 32200	1	Chemical Oxygen Demand	11	U			f .	1	1	1		1

Prepared by:BJS Date:4/12/10 Checked by:BBL Date:4/12/10

			MW	-101A
			3/3/	2010
			MW	-101A
Amahuaia	Tue etiene	Demons Maria	Decult	-S Outlifier
	Fraction	Param Name	Result	Quaimer
		1,1,1,2-Tetrachioroethane		
	T	1,1,1-Thchloroethane		
	T	1,1,2,2-Tetrachioroethane		
		1,1,2-Thchloroethane		
EPA 0200B		1,1-Dichloroethane		
EPA 8260B	-	1,1-Dichloroethene		
EPA 8260B	1	1,2,3-1 richlorobenzene		· ·
EPA 8260B	1	1,2,4- I richlorobenzene		
EPA 8260B	<u> </u>	1,2-Dibromoethane		
EPA 8260B	T	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		
EPA 8260B	Т	1,2-Dichloroethane		
EPA 8260B	T	1,2-Dichloropropane		
EPA 8260B	T	1,3-Dichlorobenzene		
EPA 8260B	Т	1,3-Dichloropropane		
EPA 8260B	Т	1,4-Dichlorobenzene		
EPA 8260B	Т	Acetone		
EPA 8260B	Т	Benzene		
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromodichloromethane		
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromoform		
EPA 8260B	Т	Bromomethane		
EPA 8260B	ι. Τ	Carbon tetrachloride		
EPA 8260B	T	Chlorobenzene		
EPA 8260B	T	Chlorodibromomethane		
EPA 8260B	T	Chloroform	·	
EDA 9260D	T	Cis 1.2 Disblaraathana		
	 	cis-1,2-Dichloropropono		
	 	CIS-1,3-DICITIOTOPTOPETIE		
EPA 8260B	-	Etnyl benzene		
EPA 8260B		Methylene chloride		
EPA 8260B	1	Naphthalene		
EPA 8260B	T	Styrene		
EPA 8260B	Т	Tetrachloroethene		
EPA 8260B	Т	Toluene		
EPA 8260B	T	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		
EPA 8260B	T	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		
EPA 8260B	Т	Trichloroethene		
EPA 8260B	Т	Vinyl chloride		
EPA 8260B	Т	Xylenes, Total		
EPA 6010B	Т	Arsenic	0.005	U
EPA 6010B	Т	Barium		
EPA 6010B	Т	Cadmium		
EPA 6010B	Т	Calcium		
EPA 6010B	T	Chromium		
EPA 6010B	T	Copper		
EPA 6010B	T	Iron		
	T	Lood		
	 	Manganaga		
	   <del></del>			
		Selenium		N
EPA DUTUB	 		· · · ·	
EPA 6010B	1 · ·	Sodium		
EPA 6010B	1	I nallium		
EPA 6010B		Zinc		
EPA 6010B	D	Arsenic		
EPA 6010B	D	Barium		
EPA 6010B	D	Cadmium		
EPA 6010B	D	Chromium		
EPA 6010B	D	Lead		
EPA 6010B	D	Selenium		
EPA 6010B	D	Silver		
EPA 7470A	Т	Mercury		
EPA 7470A	D	Mercury		
EPA 9056	Т	Chloride		
EPA 9056	Т	Nitrate as N		
EPA 9056	T	Sulfate		
non-NELAC 3 3 13	T	2-Butanone		
non-NELAC 3 3 13	і. Т	4-Methyl_2-pentanone		
non-NELAC 2.2.13	т	Methyl Terthutyl Ethor		
SM 23200	<u>т</u>	Total Alkalinity on CoCC2		
SM 2540 C	 	Total Dissolved Calida		
SM 4500 CN 005	   <del> </del>	Cuenido Totol		
SIVI 4500 CN-C&E		Cyanide, Lotal		
SIVI 5220C		Cnemical Oxygen Demand		

3Yankee – Rowe, MA Validation Summary – March 2010 Groundwater and Surface Water MACTEC Project No. 3617087152

#### Data Validation Summary Yankee Nuclear Power Station Rowe, Massachusetts SDG: 3Y-YR-101

#### Introduction:

Five groundwater samples, eight surface water samples, and two trip blanks were collected on March 2 and 3, 2010, at the Yankee Nuclear Power Station, located in Rowe, Massachusetts. The samples were analyzed for one or more of the following parameters: volatile organic compounds (VOC), total metals, dissolved metals, and wet chemistry parameters (cyanide, chemical oxygen demand [COD], nitrate, chloride, sulfate, total dissolved solids [TDS], and alkalinity). Sample analyses for all parameters were performed by Northeast Laboratory Services (NEL), located in Waterville, ME.

A chemist review was performed on all samples and analyses using information supplied by the laboratory. The data package was validated using Region I EPA-New England Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Environmental Analyses (USEPA, 1996) and the Yankee Nuclear Power Station Groundwater Monitoring Program, Document RP-05, Revision 3 (June 16, 2009).

The following samples collected during March 2010 are included in the data evaluation:

Field Sample ID	NEL ID	Sample Date	Comment
CFW-6	AM01012	3/2/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
SW-4	AM01013	3/2/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
SW-5	AM01014	3/2/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
CFW-5	AM01015	3/2/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
CFW-5DUP	AM01016	3/2/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
TB-005	AM01019	3/2/10	VOC
CFW-1	AM01105	3/3/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
SW-1	AM01106	3/3/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
SW-2	AM01107	3/3/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
SW-3	AM01108	3/3/10	VOC, total metals*, cyanide, COD, nitrate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, alkalinity
SP-1	AM01109	3/3/10	VOC, RCRA 8 total metals plus thallium
TB-006	AM01110	3/3/10	VOC
SW-408	AM01111	3/3/10	RCRA 8 dissolved metals
SW-011	AM01112	3/3/10	RCRA 8 dissolved metals
MW-101A	AM01113	3/3/10	Arsenic

\* Metals include - RCRA 8 (arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium, silver) + copper, iron, manganese, zinc, calcium, sodium

Data were evaluated for the following parameters:

- \* Collection and Preservation
- \* Holding Times
- \* Data Completeness
- \* Surrogate Recoveries
- \* Blank Contamination Duplicates
  Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCS/LCSD) Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates (MS/MSD)
- \* Miscellaneous

\* - all criteria were met for this parameter

With the exception of the following items discussed below, results were determined to be usable as reported by the laboratory.

3Yankee – Rowe, MA Validation Summary – March 2010 Groundwater and Surface Water MACTEC Project No. 3617087152

Duplicates

TDS – The relative percent difference between sample SW-3 and its laboratory duplicate was greater than the laboratory QC limit of 20 for TDS (47). TDS results in associated samples CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, and SW-3 were qualified as estimated (J/UJ).

#### Laboratory Control Samples

Sulfate – The LCSD associated with a subset of samples had a percent recovery above the laboratory upper QC limit of 110 for sulfate (117), indicating potential high bias. Sulfate detections in samples CFW-6, SW-4, and SW-5 were qualified as estimated (J).

#### Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

**VOCs** – The MS associated with sample CFW-5 and its field duplicate CFW-5DUP had a percent recovery below the lower laboratory QC limit of 79% for 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (78), indicating potential low bias. The relative percent difference between the MS and MSD was greater than the laboratory QC limit of 20 for naphthalene (22). Naphthalene and 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene were reported as non-detect (U) in samples CFW-5 and CFW-5DUP and were qualified as estimated (UJ).

Metals – The MS/MSD associated with sample CFW-5 and its field duplicate CFW-5DUP had percent recoveries above the upper laboratory QC limit of 125% for selenium (133/132), indicating potential high bias. Selenium detections samples CFW-5 and CFW-5DUP were qualified as estimated (J).

Chloride – The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate associated with sample CFW-5 and its field duplicate CFW-5DUP had percent recoveries below the laboratory lower QC limit of 90 for chloride (88/88), indicating potential low bias. Chloride results in associated samples CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, and SW-3 were qualified as estimated (J/UJ).

#### References:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1996. "Region I, EPA-New England Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Environmental Analyses, Parts I and II," Quality Assurance Unit Staff; Office of Environmental Measurement and Evaluation; December, 1996.

Yankee Nuclear Power Station, 2007. "YNPS Groundwater Monitoring Program." ISFSI Radiation Protection, RP-05: Revision 3, June 16, 2009.

Data Validator: Bradley B. LaForest, NRCC-EAC

Bradly B.

April 2, 2010

**APPENDIX B-3** 

VALIDATION CHECKLISTS - MARCH 2010

R	P-(	)5
R	ev.	. 3

ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
MW-102D	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	ОК	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-104A	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW- 104ADUP	03/24/2010	DU (Field)	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-105B	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-106A	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-107C	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-107D	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-107E	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-107F	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist

#### **Gamma Spec**

### NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC  $\leq$  Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

(1) Sample incorrectly logged in and reported by lab as MW-104DUP; manually corrected sample ID to MW-104ADUP on hardcopy result and EDD as needed.

No other processing issues or missing analytes.

III. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

(1) Sample incorrectly logged in and reported by lab as MW-104DUP; manually corrected sample ID to MW-104ADUP on hardcopy result and EDD as needed.

Page 1 of 2

ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

No other processing issues or missing analytes.

- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required.
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Multiple for Julie Ricards Date: April 7, 2010

Γ

R	P-(	)5
R	ev	3

### ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
Monroe Dam	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
SP-1	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
SW-011	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
SW-408	03/24/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
EB-003	03/24/2010	BL (Field)	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
		· ·				

	NOTE
1.0	FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
2.0	Reported MDC $\leq$ Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
3.0	Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
I.	All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X_YesNo
II.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:
	No processing issues or missing analytes.
III.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:
	No processing issues or missing analytes.
IV.	Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):
	See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required.
V.	Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer///////////////////////////////////

## Gamma Spec

Page 1 of 1

Γ

RP-0	5
Rev.	3

٦

## ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample	All Scheduled	Sample	Units	Assessment
		Designator	Analyses	Processing	Correct?	Criteria (Note
		(Note 1)	Performed?	Comments?		2) (Note 3)
QC1202063075	03/24/2010	DU	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
QC1202063077	03/24/2010	QC (LCS)	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
QC1202063074	03/24/2010	BL	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
						· ·
				· · ·		
						-

## Gamma Spec

ж. Т	NOTE
1.0	FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
2.0	Reported MDC $\leq$ Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
3.0	Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
I.	All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No
II.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:
	No processing issues or missing analytes.
III.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:
	No processing issues or missing analytes.
IV.	Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):
	See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required.
V.	Data verification calculation sheets are attached (at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer $\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$

## Page 1 of 1

R	P-(	)5
D	017	2

ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
MW-102D	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-104A	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW- 104ADUP	03/15/2010	DU (Field)	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-105B	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-106A	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-107C	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-107D	03/22/2010	FS	Yes	See (2) below	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-107E	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
MW-107F	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist

### Strontium-90

### NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC  $\leq$  Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

(1) Sample incorrectly logged in and reported by lab as MW-104DUP; manually corrected sample ID to MW-104ADUP on hardcopy result and EDD as needed.

(2) Sample recounted to verify results; no problems noted.

No processing issues or missing analytes.

# ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

III. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

(1) Sample incorrectly logged in and reported by lab as MW-104DUP; manually corrected sample ID to MW-104ADUP on hardcopy result and EDD as needed.

(2) Sample recounted to verify results; no problems noted.

No processing issues or missing analytes.

- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required.
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Mark For Jahe Ricard Date: April 7, 2010

RP-0	5
Rev.	3

ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

Strontium-90

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
Monroe Dam	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
SP-1	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
SW-011	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
SW-408	03/15/2010	FS	Yes	ОҚ	Yes	See attached Checklist
EB-003	03/15/2010	BL (Field)	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·				

### NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC  $\leq$  Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X\_Yes \_\_\_\_No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes.
- III. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes.
- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required.
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached (at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer MM for Julie Ricard, Date: April 7, 2010

RP-05 Rev. 3

## ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
QC1202065011	03/15/2010	DU	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
QC1202065013	03/15/2010	QC (LCS)	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
QC1202065010	03/15/2010	BL	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist
QC1202065012	03/15/2010	SK	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached Checklist

#### Strontium-90

### NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC  $\leq$  Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X\_Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes.
- III. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes.
- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required.
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer May for Julie Ricards Date: April 7, 2010

### RP-05 Rev. 3

### ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

			P			
Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample	All Scheduled	Sample	Units Correct?	Assessment
-	-	Designator	Analyses	Processing		Criteria (Note
		(Note 1)	Performed?	Comments?		2) (Note 3)
		(1.000 1)				-) ()
MW-102D	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
	00/05/0010		**			0
MW-104A	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
MW-	03/25/2010	DU (Field)	Ves	See (1) and	Ves	See attached
	05/25/2010		100	(2) below	105	Checklist
104ADUr				(2) below	· · · · · ·	CHOCKHSt
MW-105B	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
MW-106A	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Checklist
MW 107C	02/25/2010	EC	Var	See (1) below	Vac	Saa attachad
WW-10/C	03/23/2010	r5	res	See (1) below	res	See attached
						Checklist
MW-107D	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
MW-107E	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
MW-107F	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	L

#### Tritium

### NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC  $\leq$  Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X\_Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

(1) Sample recounted to verify results; no problems noted.

(2) Sample incorrectly logged in and reported by lab as MW-104DUP; manually corrected sample ID to MW-104ADUP on hardcopy result and EDD as needed.

No processing issues or missing analytes.

## ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

RP-05 Rev. 3

III. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

(1) Sample recounted to verify results; no problems noted.

(2) Sample incorrectly logged in and reported by lab as MW-104DUP; manually corrected sample ID to MW-104ADUP on hardcopy result and EDD as needed.

No processing issues or missing analytes.

IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):

See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required.

V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Mark Fir Julie Ricard Date: April 7, 2010

RP-05 Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample	All Scheduled	Sample	Units Correct?	Assessment
-		Designator	Analyses	Processing		Criteria (Note
		(Note 1)	Performed?	Comments?		2) (Note 3)
			I enomed:	Comments:		2) (1000 3)
Monroe Dam	03/23/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
						CHOCKHSt
SP-1	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	See (1) below	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
						Checkhist
SW-011	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
						Checkhist
SW-408	03/25/2010	FS	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
		1				Checklist
						Checklist
EB-003	03/25/2010	BL (Field)	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
						Checklist
						Checkhot
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			1			
	L	1	1	L	1	

	NOTE							
1.0	FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike							
2.0	Reported MDC $\leq$ Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.							
3.0	Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.							
I.	All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No							
II.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:							
	(1) Sample recounted to verify results; no problems noted.							
	No processing issues or missing analytes.							
III.	. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:							
	(1) Sample recounted to verify results; no problems noted.							
	No processing issues or missing analytes.							
IV.	Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):							
	See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required. Page 1 of 2							

## Tritium

RP-05 Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Mark Thickicand i Date: April 7, 2010

Г

**RP-05** Rev. 3

## ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample	All Scheduled	Sample	Units	Assessment
•		Designator	Analyses	Processing	Correct?	Criteria (Note
		(Note 1)	Performed?	Comments?		2) (Note 3)
			r enformed.	Comments.		2) (1000 5)
OC1202064443	03/25/2010	DU	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
Q o the official offi						Checklist
						CHOCKIISt
OC1202064445	03/25/2010	OC (LCS)	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
Q O I III O III I III		<b>X</b> = ()				Checklist
						Checklist
OC1202064442	03/25/2010	BL	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
201202001112	03/20/2010	22				Checklist
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Checkhot
OC1202064444	03/25/2010	SK	Yes	OK	Yes	See attached
Q01202001111	03/23/2010		105	011		Checklist
						CHOCKHSt
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
н. - С						
······						
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
L	L	1	L			I

	NOTE						
1.0	FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike						
2.0	Reported MDC $\leq$ Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.						
3.0	Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.						
I.	All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No						
II.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:						
	No processing issues or missing analytes.						
III.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:						
	No processing issues or missing analytes.						
IV.	Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):						
	See attached Checklist for details; no sample qualifications required.						
V.	Data verification calculation sheets are attached (at least one calculation per batch) NA						
	Reviewer May for Julie Ricard Date: April 7, 2010						

## Tritium

SFSI Radiat	on Protection	RP-05 Rev. 3
R	ATTACHMENT D EVIEW OF CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND SAMPLE DOCUMEN	NTATION
Sa	mpling Event Date(s) March 2010 Shipment I	Date 3.4.10
W	ells Sampled in this Batch: MW-1820, MW-1840, MW-18315, MW-1	MW-107E SP-1 SW-01/ SW-02E
I.	All samples identified on COC forms? X Yes No	Monroe Dam, EB-003
II.	Samples obtained match those required by sampling plan?	Yes No
III.	Verification of unbroken chain of custody for samples? X	esNo
IV.	Samples received intact by laboratory? X Yes No	
V.	Sample flush volumes and flow parameters consistent with histo acceptable? <u>Yes</u> No	orical data and
VI.	Sample non-radiological parameters consistent with historical d	ata and acceptable?
VII.	All preservative and container requirements met? $\_$ Yes $\_$	No
VIII.	Samples obtained match those required by sampling plan?	_YesNo
IX.	Evaluation for accepting sample for any questions I – VIII answ if resample will be done prior to shipment):	vered "NO" (indicate
	N /	
	A	r
	Reviewer Mit Date 3	5-10
	4 coolers shipped to GEL	

### RP-05 Rev. 3

## ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
CFW-6	3/3/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-4	3/3/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-5	3/3/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5	3/3/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5DUP	3/3/10	DU (Field)	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
TB-005	3/3/10	BL (Trip)	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW=1	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-1	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-2	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-3	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
SP-1	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
TB-006	3/4/10	BL (Trip)	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
Laboratory QC	1			<u>n a langen di kina kina ka</u> na kana kana kina kina kina kina kina ki		
LCSF0303	3/3/10	QC	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
VBLKF0303	3/3/10	BL	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSF0304	3/4/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
VBLKF0304	3/4/10	BL	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSF0305	3/5/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
VBLKF0305	3/5/10	BL	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015.14MS	3/5/10	SK.	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015.15MSD	3/5/10	SK	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist

## Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

RP-05 Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

	NOTE
1.0	FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
2.0	Reported MDC $\leq$ Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
3.0	Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
1.	All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X_YesNo
Π.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:
	No processing issues or missing analytes
Ш.	Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:
	No processing issues or missing analytes
īV.	Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):
	See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications
V.	Data verification calculation sheets are attached (at least one calculation per batch) NA
	Reviewer Date: March 30,2010

#### REGION I TIER II VALIDATION CHECKLIST Criteria and Qualifications: REGION I Organics Guideline (Draft 12/96) VOLATILE

Site: YANKEE ROWE

Project #: 3617087152/02.01

Box #: YR-101

Sample IDs: See attached tracking sheet or samples listed.

CFW-6	CFW-5 DUP	SW-3	
SW-4	CFW-1	SP-1	
SW-5	SW-1	TB-005	
CFW-5	<u>SW-2</u>	<u>TB-006</u>	antinental transmission

This checklist is used to document Tier II validation. It can also be used to document Level III validation. During Level III validation, calculation and transcription checks are completed for instrument tuning, surrogates, target compounds, spike recoveries, calibration data, and internal standards as specified in the guideline. These checks are documented on attached validation notes.

YES	NO		
X	D	Hold Times	Attach list of samples which exceed hold times. Indicate total hold time and qualifiers.
Data ( X	completen and rav	ess Cover page, Forms I-VIII, DC-1, DC-2, v data	Comments on missing information (if any) and action taken.
X Chain	Of Custor	iginal shipping and receiving documents	
X prepa	D ration and	All original lab records of sample l analysis	
GC/M D	IS Instrum	ent Performance Check Form V present and complete for all samples for each 12-hour period samples were analyzed	Attach copy of Form V if criteria was not met. Highlight criteria not met, list samples affected, and list qualifiers added.
C		Appropriate number of significant figures reported (at least 2)	OK Per case narrative
	0	Mass/Charge list (m/z) criteria met	
GC/N	IS Initial C	alibration Form VI present and complete for all	Attach copy of Form VI if criteria was not met.
		%RSD less than or equal to 30% RRF greater than or equal to 0.05	Highlight criteria not met, list samples affected, and list qualifiers added. OK - Per case narrative
GC/M	IS Continu	ung Calibration	
	C	Form VII present and complete for all	Attach copy of Form VII if criteria was not met.
		%D less than or equal to 25% RRF greater than or equal to 0.05.	and list qualifiers added. OK – Per case narrative
Meth	od Blanks	Forme i & M propost and populate for all	Attach constraine N/fex all complex list all
Х	LI	Form I & IV present and complete for all blanks	contaminants, concentrations and action level.
Х		One analyzed per GC/MS system per	Attach conv of Form I for contaminated field or
Х		One analyzed per matrix/concentration	trip blanks. Circle all contaminants. Field QC blanks will not be used to determine action
	Х	Contaminants	levels for non-aqueous samples. Flag samples EB (equipment blank), TB (trip blank), or BB
	<u>form</u>	A cleaning blank was analyzed after any high concentration sample (exceeding calibration range)	(bottle blank) as indicated in the guideline.

### REGION I TIER II VALIDATION CHECKLIST Criteria and Qualifications: REGION I Organics Guideline (Draft 12/96) VOLATILE

Site: <u>YANKEE ROWE</u> Project #: <u>3617087152/02.01</u> Box #: <u>YR-101</u>						
Trip/Eq	uipment	Blanks	Describe professional judgements and			
	X	Contaminants	desuara u abbuea:			
Surroga X	nte/Syste	m Monitoring Compounds Recovery Form II present and complete for all samples	Attach copies of Form II (Part 2) for all non- compliant %R. Circle outliers & indicate			
X		Percent recovery criteria met	qualifier.			
Matrix \$	3pike/Ma □ X X	trix Spike Duplicate Form I and III present and complete Percent recovery criteria met RPD criteria met	Attach copy of Form III for all non-compliant % and RPD. Circle all non-compliances and indicate qualifiers. The MS associated with sample CFW-5 and its field duplicate CFW- 5DUP had a percent recovery below the lower laboratory QC limit of 79% for 1,2,4- trichlorobenzene (78), indicating potential low bias. The relative percent difference between the MS and MSD was greater than the laboratory QC limit of 20 for naphthalene (22). Naphthalene and 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene were reported as non-detect (U) in samples CFW-5 and CFW-5DUP and were qualified as estimated (UJ).			
Field D X X	uplicates D D	Form I's present and complete RPD criteria (water <30%, soils <50%) met	Identify field duplicate pair and attach list of all compounds with non-compliant RfDs. Indicate qualifiers.			
Interna	Standar	d Form VIII present and complete for all	Attach copy of Form VIII if criteria was not met.			
D		samples Area counts within -50 to +100 percent of	Highlight criteria not met, list samples affected, and list qualifiers added.			
۵	CJ.	calib. std. Retention Time within 30 seconds of calib. std.	OK Per case narrative			
Target X	Compou	inds List (TCL) Form I present and complete for all samples	Call (Fax) lab for re-submittals. Attach copy of face mile transmission to this review.			
Х	D	Reviewed narrative for anomalies				
Tentati X	vely Ider	tified Compounds (TICs) Form I Part B present and complete for all samples TCL compounds reported as TICs	Call lab for missing data. Fill out TIC Form and submit to data entry.			
Table ' X	1 Check	Check Table 1 results against Form I's and ensure all data on Table 1 is correct.				

#### REGION I TIER II VALIDATION CHECKLIST Criteria and Qualifications: REGION I Organics Guideline (Draft 12/96) VOLATILE

Comments:

Site: YANKEE ROWE

Project #: 3617087152/02.01

Box #: YR=101

LCS - OK

Reviewer's Signature: na Date: 3/30/10

p:\validate\validate\sops\region1\voa\voat2a.doc
#### Method: 5030B / 8260B Aqueous SOP: 3.3.40 / 3.3.13 Matrix Spike Sample/ Matrix Spike Sample Duplicate Recovery

Lab Name: SDG No, Contractor Project No, Case No, Client No, Lab Code Sample(s):

Macter

Mactec AM01015.13

5970 F

Instrument (D): GC Column:

AM01015,14MS

MS ID: File #: Date/Time Analyzed:

5 Mar 2010 12:08

Northeast Laboratory Services

Restek RTX-VMS, 0,25um ID, 30m

MSD ID: AM01016.16MSD File # F0906.D Date/Time Analyzed: 5 Mar 2010 13:49

	Spike	Native S	Sample	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	Recovery		RPD
	Added	Concen	tration	Concentration	Concentration	- %	%	Window	%	Limit
Compound	ug/L	. ug	r.	ug/L	ug/L	Rec. 4	Rec. I	%	RPD A	%
Vinyi Chleride	50.0	1.0	U	45.2	44.8	90,4	89.6	48 - 133	0.889	20
Bromomethane	50.0	1.0	U	44.0	36.7	88.0	73.4	69 - 155	18 1	20
Acetone	50.0	1.0	U	36,9	39,7	73.8	79.4	D - 239	7.31	20
1,1-Dichloroethene	50.0	1.0	U	59.2	59.8	118	120	42 - 138	1.01	20
Methylene Chloride	50.0	1.0	U	44.6	43,8	89.2	87.6	30 - 154	1.81	20
1-Butyl-Methyl Ether (MTBE)	100	2.0	U	92.9	94.8	92.9	94.8	71 - 129	2.02	20
trans-1.2-Dichloroelhene	50.0	1.0	U	48.5	49.0	97.0	98.0	52 - 141	1.03	20
1,1-Dichloroethane	50.0	1.0	U	47.4	48.0	94.8	96.0	44 - 142	1.26	20
2-Butanone	50.0	1.0	U	42.2	44.2	.84,4	88.4	47 - 155	4.63	20
cis-1,2-Dichloroelhene	50.0	1.0	U	47,3	47.4	94.6	94.8	58 - 135	0.211	20
Chioroform	50.0	1.0	U	47.9	48.6	95.8	97.2	74 - 121	1.45	20
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50.0	1.0	U	51.7	52.0	103	104	84 - 122	0.579	20
Carbon Tetrachloride	50.0	1.0	U	52.6	53,8	105	108	81 - 131	2.26	20
1.2-Dichloroethane	50.0	1.0	U	46,1	47.2	92.2	94,4	77 - 131	2.36	20
Benzene	50.0	1.0	U	48.1	47.9	96.2	95.8	39 - 140	0 417	20
Trichlorgethene	50.0	1.0	U	56.3	57.1	113	114	77 - 123	1.41	20
1.2-Dichioropropane	50.0	1.0	U	49.6	50.9	99.2	102	52 - 133	2.59	20
Bromodichloromethane	50.0	1.0	U I	51.6	52.7	103	105	76 - 127	2.11	20
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	50.0	1.0	U	49.6	51.0	99.2	102	69 - 128	2.78	20
cis-1.3-Dichoropropene	50.0	1.0	Ŭ	51.5	52.8	103	106	82 - 127	2 49	20
Toluene	50.0	1.0	U	53.8	55,0	108	110	73 - 123	2.21	20
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	50.0	1.0	U	51.6	52.4	103	105	84 - 155	1.54	20
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	50.0	1.0	U	51.6	51.8	103	104	73 - 134	0.387	20
1.3-Dichloropropane	50.0	1.0	U	50.7	51.6	101	103	73 - 120	1.76	20
Tetrachloroethene	50.0	1.0	U	55.1	55.5	110	111	55 - 110	6 0 723	20
Dibromochloromethane	50.0	1.0	U	52.8	54.0	106	108	62 - 150	2.25	20
1.2-Dibromosthane	50.0	1.0	U	52.1	52.4	104	105	67 - 14	0.574	20
Chlorobenzene	50.0	1.0	U	52.3	53.5	105	107	76 - 124	2.27	20
1.1.1.2-Tetrachioroethane	50.0	1.0	U	53.0	54.4	106	109	76 - 12	2.61	20
Ethylbenzene	50.0	1.0	U	54.7	54,9	109	110	76 - 12	0.365	20
m.p-Xviene	100	2.0	U	110	110	110	110	79 - 12	5 0.000	20
o-Xviene	50.0	1.0	U	54.1	53,8	108	108	80 - 12	0.556	20
Styrene	50.0	1.0	Ū	52.9	53.4	106	107	77 - 12	8 0.941	20
Bromoform	50.0	1.0	Ū	53.3	53.2	107	106	59 - 16	2 0 188	20
1 1.2.2.Tetrachloroethene	50.0	1.0	U	. 52.1	51.2	104	102	55 - 15	5 1.74	20
1.3.Dichlorobenzene	50.0	1.0	t ū	52.7	55.3	105	111	84 - 12	3 4.81	20
1 4-Dichiorobenzene	50.0	1.0	t ū	51.9	55.0	104	110	72 - 12	1 5.80	20
1.2.Dichlambenzene	50.0	1.0	t	51.8	53.3	104	107	78 - 12	5 2.85	20
1.2 4.Trichlombenzene	50.0	10	1 ii	38.8	46.5	77.6	• 93.0	79 - 15	6 18.1	20
Manhibalana	50.0	10	t ŭ .	35.3	43.8	70.6	87.6	42 - 18	0 21.6	20
1.2.3.Trichlorchenzene	60.0	10	ti	36.4	44.2	72.8	88.4	42 - 18	0 194	20
1 1 100 Lat. 10 100 DALARAN HEAD IS	5,0 ° 10° 1 ° 100°	under a starte and	Lauren Maria	An and the second s	and a second	1000 C		terminal bears		heread

RPD Spike Recovery 1 out of out of

40 outside limits 80 outside limits

LES OTRS

#Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an esterisk, \*Values outside of QC limits NC: Not calculable Comments:

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
CFW-6	3/12/10	FŚ	Yes	0.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-4	3/12/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
\$W-5	3/12/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5	3/12/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW=5DUP	3/12/10	DU (Field)	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-1	3/12/10	FS	Yes	О. <b>К</b> .	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-1	3/12/10	<b>F</b> S	Yes	0,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-2	3/12/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-3	3/12/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SP-1	3/12/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
MW-101A	3/12/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
Laboratory QC		L				(). 
LRB 031010	3/12/10	BL	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS 031010	3/12/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD 031010	3/12/10	QĈ	Yes	O,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015.1LD	3/12/10	DU	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015.1MS	3/12/10	SK	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015.1MSD	3/12/10	ŚK	Yes	<b>О.К</b> ,	Yes	See attached checklist

# Total Metals (excluding mercury)

# NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC  $\leq$ Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- 1. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

No processing issues or missing analytes

Page 1 of 2

RP-05 Rev. 3

- III.
   Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

   No processing issues or missing analytes
- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above): <u>See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications</u>
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Dele S. Date: March 31,2010

# Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)			
SW-408	3/12/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist			
SW-011	3/12/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist			
Laboratory QC	Laboratory QC								
LRB 031010	3/12/10	BL	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist			
LCS 031010	3/12/10	QC	Yes	O,K.	Yes	See attached checklist			
LCSD 031010	3/12/10	QC	Yes	Ô.K.	Yes	See attached checklist			
AM01015.1LD	3/12/10	DU	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist			
AM01015.1MS	3/12/10	SK	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist			
AM01015.1MSD	3/12/10	SK	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist			

## **Dissolved Metals (excluding mercury)**

# NOTE

- 1.0FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0Reported MDC ≤Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No Ī.
- ĬĬ. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes
- Ш. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes
- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above): See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications
- Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA V. Reviewer Date: March 31,2010 ABU

RP=05

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)		
SW-408	3/15/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist		
SW-011	3/15/10	FS	Yes	О. <b>К</b> .	Yes	See attached checklist		
Laboratory QC	Laboratory QC							
LRB- 031110B	3/15/10	BL	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist		
LCS-031110B	3/15/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist		
LCSD-031110B	3/15/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist		
AM01015.1LD	3/15/10	DU	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist		
AM01015.1M8	3/15/10	SK	Yes	O,K.	Yes	See attached checklist		
AM01015.1MSD	3/15/10	SK	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist		

#### **Dissolved Mercury**

# NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC  $\leq$ Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X\_Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes
- III.
   Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

   No processing issues or missing analytes
- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):
   See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached (at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Date: March 31,2010

RP-05

Rev. 3

#### RP-05 Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)	
CFW-6	3/15/10	FS	Yes	<u>О.К.</u>	Yes	See attached checklist	
SW-4	3/15/10	FS	Yes	Ô.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
\$W-\$	3/15/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
CFW-5	3/15/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
CFW-5DUP	3/15/10	DU (Field)	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
CFW-1	3/15/10	FS	Yes	0,К,	Yes	See attached checklist	
SW-1	3/15/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
SW-2	3/15/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
SW-3	3/15/10	F8	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
SP-1	3/15/10	FS	Yes	Ô.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
Laboratory QC			L		L		
LRB- 031110B	3/15/10	BL	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
LCS-031110B	3/15/10	QC	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
LCSD-031110B	3/15/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
AM01015.1LD	3/15/10	DU	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
AM01015.1MS	3/15/10	SK	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	
AM01015.1MSD	3/15/10	<u>SK</u>	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist	

# **Total Mercury**

# NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC  $\leq$ Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes

- III. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes
- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above): See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications
- V. Data verification calculation sheets-are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Date: March 31,2010

#### **REGION I TIER II VALIDATION CHECKLIST** Criteria and Qualifiers: Region I Guidelines (6/13/88 Modified 2/89) INORGANIC

#### SITE: YANKEE ROWE Project #: 3617087152/02.01 Box #:YR-101

Sample IDs: See attached tracking sheet or samples listed: CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, SW-3, SP-1, SW-408, SW-011, MW-101A

YES	No	VALIDATION CHECK	NONCOMPLIANCE NOTES
X	D	Hold Times Met	Attach list of samples which exceed hold times. Indicate total hold time and qualifiers.
Х	D	Samples preserved	
Data	Data Completeness X		Comments on missing information (if any) and action
X			taken.
Х	C	Original shipping and receiving documents	Chain of Custody
X	X   Lab records of sample transfer, preparation and analysis		Internal laboratory chain of custody
Calit	oration		100: at least one block and ane standard
ΞŴ	<b>₽</b> □ 	Appropriate number of standards used to establish calibration curve.	AA and CN <sup>°</sup> : at least one blank and one standards, with one standard at the CRDL for AA.
۵		Correlation coefficient > 0.995.	Hg: at least one blank and four standards
	prod	Polloustad dalls	Correlation coefficient criteria applicable to all analyses except ICP
Ч	L	Calibrated daily.	If correlation coefficient is not acceptable, discuss deficiencies, affected samples and action taken.
		CRI/CRA analyzed at the proper frequency in the analytical run sequence.	See method.
		CRI/CRA %R within acceptance range.	No acceptance range dictated by CLP methods or National Functional Guidelines. See regional guidelines for guidance.
	G.	ICV/CCV %R within acceptance range.	90-110% for ICP, 85-115% for CN <sup>*</sup> , 80-120% for Hg
D	D	CCVs analyzed at the proper frequency.	Every 10 samples or every 2 hrs.
			Attach copy of Form II (2A) for all noncompliant ICVs and CCVs. Circle non-compliances and indicate qualifiers.
		Traceable ICV source.	OK – Per case narrative

Blanks					
Method: X 🛛	Method blank was prepared with each batch of samples or with a maximum of 20 samples	Attach copy of Form III (3). Circle all contaminants; Indicate action to be taken, action level if applicable, and samples affected.			
ONAG	Results >IDL	Establish action level at 5Xcontamination level. Qualify data per Region I Guidelines.			
	Absolute value negative method blank results > 2xIDL	Establish action level at 5X abs value of result. J (+ <al) (nd).<="" and="" td="" uj=""></al)>			
0.00	Reanalysis was conducted if necessary				
Calibration	Blanks				
ONAO	ICB/CCB results > IDL	See above under method blank for action.			
	Absolute value of negative	See above under method blank for action.			
	CCB analyzed every 10 samples or 2 hrs.	Attach copy of Form III (3). Circle all contaminants; indicate action to be taken, action level if applicable, and			
Field Blank	9 Deculie SIDI	samples affected.			
FILA WFT		OK- Per case narrative			
	e Check Sample ICS analyzed at proper frequency ICS AB %R 80%-120%	An ICS must be run at the beginning and end of run or every 8 hours.			
For sample 50% of inte solution A:	s with interference concentrations > ference concentration in ICS	Interference's are Calcium, Aluminum, Iron and Magnesium.			
ONTO	Are positive ICS A results >IDL for analytes not present in the ICS A solution?	If yes, J sample result (>2XIDL) for that analyte.			
	Are negative ICS A results	If yes, UJ (ND) sample result for that analyte.			
Securit Connection	>2XIDL for analytes not present in the ICS A solution?	OK – Per case narrative			
Matrix Spik	09				
	All compounds are within %R of 75-125% excluding results exceeding the spike concentration by ≥4x	Attach copy of Form V (Part 1) 5A for noncompliant % Recoveries. The MS/MSD associated with sample CFW-5 and its field duplicate CFW-5DUP had percent recoveries above the upper laboratory QC limit of 125% for selenium (133/132), indicating potential high bias. Selenium detections samples CFW-5 and CFW- 5DUP were qualified as estimated (J).			
DNAD	Were post-digestion spikes	Circle all non-compliances and indicate qualifiers.			
Υ. Υ	reported on VB for ICP, flame, Hg and CN for unacceptable pre- digestion spike recoveries	Ca – saturated %rec not calculated, OK; Fe and Mn – sample concentration >4x spike, OK.			
	Was a field blank used for spike analysis				

Labor D	ratory C X	Ouplicate Was a field blank used as the lab duplicate	Attach copy of Lab-Duplicate form for criteria not met. Indicate exceeded limits, samples affected, and action taken.
Х	G	Is the RPD within control limits of ±20% (35% for soil) for sample values >5x CRDL	
X	Is the control limit of ± CRDL (35% for soil) met for sample values <5x CRDL		
X	D	Was a duplicate analyzed for every matrix and every 20 samples or batch	
Field	Dunlin	ate	сание саминие и байсай амилии импиние кал наримария и макеевина и до стебли станити и на нарима и на нарима и н
Х		For sample values >5x CRDL, the RPD control limit of ± 30% (50% for soil) was met	Attach list of samples that did not meet criteria requirements and qualifiers used.
X	0	For sample values <5x CRDL, the control limit of ±2x CRDL (4x CRDL for soil) was met	
Labo X	ratory (	Control Samples (LCS) Percent recoveries are within limits of 80-120% for aqueous samples and within control limits for soils.	Attach copy of Form VII (7) from for all noncompliant recoveries. Circle non-compliances and indicate qualifiers, and samples affected.
X	0	An LCS was analyzed for each matrix, batch of samples, or every 20 samples.	
Furni		Analysis Spike recovery criteria (85 ≲ % R ≤ 115) was met	Attach sheet indicating criteria not met and qualifiers used.
a		Duplicate injection criteria met	
	Ο	Are "M" flags present on Form I's indicating failing duplicate injection criteria	
		Are "S" flags present on Form I's indicating MAS analysis was required	
Seria	I Dilutio	on	
- HA	~□	Are any percent difference criteria > 15%	Attach copy of Serial Dilution Form for criteria not met. Circle criteria not met, samples affected, and qualifiers used.
	0	Are results of the diluted samples > the original sample results	OK – Per case narrative
Revi	ewer's	Signature:	Comments:
	<u> </u>	12 UD	
Dale	annahanna		

 $\dot{\Phi}$ 

#### Form 5

#### USEPA 200.7/6010B Aqueous Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Recovery

	Spike Added	Sample Concentration	MS Concentration	MSD Concentration	
Date Analyzed:	3/12/2010		Date Analyzed:	3/12/2010	
Date Digested	3/10/2010		Date Digested	a IUIZUIU	
M5 ID:	AM01015.1	MS .	MSD ID: Date Directed	AM01015.1 MSE	)
Date Analyzed:	3/12/2010				
Date Digested	3/10/2010				
Native Sample ID:	AM01015.1				
Project No. Case No. Client No. Lab Code Instrument ID:	ICP 3000XI				
SDG No. Contractor:	Macteo				
Lab Name:	Northeast L	aboratory Services			

	Spike	Sample		MS	MSD	MS		MSD		Recovery		RPD
	Added	Concentration		Concentration	Concentration	%		6/6		Window	%	Limit
Analyte/Wavelength	ma/L	mg/L		mg/L	mg/L	Rec		Rec.	11	<sup>6</sup> /6	RPD	%
Ag 338.289	0.100	0,005	U	0,098	0,106	98.Õ		106		75-125	7.84	20.0
As 188.979	0.250	0.010	U	0.239	0.239	95.6		95.6		75-125	0,00	20.0
Ba 233.527	0.500	0.050		0.516	0.496	103		99,2		75-125	0.00	20.0
Ca 317.933	50.0	SATURATED	ware and the second	SATURATED	SATURATED	0	*	Ô	*	75-125	0.00	20,0
Cd 228.802	0.250	0.004	U	0,245	0.240	98.0		96.0	سند	75-125	2,06	20.0
Cr 267.716	0.250	0.010	U	0.249	0.239	100		95,6		75-125	4.10	20.0
Cu 324.752	0,250	0,010	U	0.250	0,239	100		95.6		75-125	4,50	20,0
Fe 238,204	0.050	44,7	iner seens bien	44,9	44.3	0	*	Ø	*	75-125	0,00	20.0
Mn 257,610	0.050	3,80		3.80	3.75	Ō	*	0	*	75-125	0.00	20.0
Na 330,237	10,0	2.90		8.30	7.93	83.0		79,3		75-125	4,56	20.0
Pb 220.353	0.250	0,010	U	0.252	0,249	1.01		1.00		75-125	1.20	20,0
Se 196.026	1,000	0.021		1,33	1,32	133	9	(32	$\square$	75-125	0.75	20.0
Zn 202.548	0.25	0,020	U	0,251	0.256	100		102		75-125	1,97	20,0

RPD		Ó	out	Øf
Spike	Recovery	8	out	0f

13 outside 26 outside

outside window outside window

\*Values outside acceptance window Comments:

Sample results and sample duplicate results are reported from undiluted samples for fair comparison. This is because the matrix spikes were not diluted.

Form V-IN

### **RP-05** Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
CFW-6	3/5/10	FS	Yes	0.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-4	3/5/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-5	3/5/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5	3/11/10	FS	Yes	Ô.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5DUP	3/5/10	DU (Field)	Yes	0.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-1	3/5/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-1	3/5/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-2	3/5/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
<b>\$W</b> -3	3/5/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
Laboratory QC	L					
Blank	3/5/10	BL	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS	3/5/10	QC	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD	3/5/10	QC	Yes	0,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
Blank	3/11/10	BL	Yes	0,K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS	3/11/10	QC	Yes	Ô,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD	3/11/10	QC	Yes	0.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015.8MS	3/11/10	SK	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015.9MSD	3/11/10	SK.	Yes	0,K,	Yes	See attached checklist

### Alkalinity

#### NOTE

- FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = 1.0 Spike
- Reported MDC ≤Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated 2.0when reported.
- Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D. 3.0
- All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No Ť.
- Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: ĪĪ.

No processing issues or missing analytes

Page 1 of 2

- III. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes
- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above): See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Date: March 31,2010

Project:<u>YANKEE ROWE</u> Project #:<u>3617087152/02.01</u> Date:<u>3/30/10</u>

0K

#### Method:<u>Alkalinity</u> Laboratory and SDG:<u>YR-101</u> Reviewer:<u>Bradley B. LaForest, NRCC-EAC</u>

#### Sample IDs: CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, SW-3

1. Case Narrative and Data Package Completeness

OK .	Case Ivari aure and Data i accage Completences
2. ok	Holding Times
<u>з</u> . ок	QC Blanks
4. Na	Initial Calibration Records
5. Na	Continuing Calibration Records
6. OK	Laboratory Control Sample Review
7. OK	field Duplicate Precision
8.	Matrix Spike Results (if applicable)

P:/Projects/3617087152 - 3 Yankee GW Monitoring/3.0\_Field\_Lab\_Data/3.3\_Data/Yankee Rowe/Validation/2010/Chemistry/Checklists/Alkalinity.doc

#### RP=05 Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
CFW-6	3/3/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
\$W-4	3/3/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-5	3/3/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5	3/3/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5DUP	3/3/10	DU (Field)	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-1	3/4/10	FS	Yes	<u>О.К.</u>	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-1	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-2	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-3	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
Laboratory QC	L	L	â			L. <u>2007.0000000000000000000000000000000000</u>
SBLK	3/3/10	BL	Yes	0,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS	3/3/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD	3/3/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015	3/3/10	SK	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015	3/3/10	SK	Yes	Ô,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
SBLK	3/4/10	BL	Yes	О. <b>К</b> .	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS	3/4/10	QC	Yes	0. <b>K</b> .	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD	3/4/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist

#### Nitrate, Sulfate, Chloride

## NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC ≤Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

No processing issues or missing analytes

Page 1 of 2

- III. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes
- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above): See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer March 31,2010

Project: <u>YANKEE ROWE</u> Project #:<u>3617087152/02.01</u> Date:<u>3/30/10</u>

#### Sample IDs: CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, SW-3

1. Case Narrative and Data Package Completeness

OK

2. Holding Times

OK

3. QC Blanks

OK

4. Initial Calibration Records

ŇA

5. Continuing Calibration Records NA

.

6. Laboratory Control Sample Review The laboratory control sample duplicate associated with a subset of samples had a percent recovery above the laboratory upper QC limit of 110 for sulfate (117), indicating potential high blas. Sulfate detections in samples CFW-6, SW-4, and SW-5 were qualified as estimated (J).

7. Field Duplicate Precision

0K

8. Matrix Spike Results (if applicable)

The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate associated with sample CFW-5 and its field duplicate CFW-5DUP had percent recoveries below the laboratory lower QC limit of 90 for chloride (88/88), indicating potential low bias. Chloride results associated samples CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, and SW-3 were qualified as estimated (J/UJ).

Lab Name: SDG No. Contractor: Project No. Case No. Client No. Lab Code:

Northeast Laboratory Services

Mactec

AM01012-AM01016, AM01105-AM01108

Instrument ID: Date Analyzed: Concentration Units: DIONEX ION CHROMATOGRAPH 600 3/3/2010, 03/04/10 mg/L

							RPD	Rec.
Analyte	True	Found (LCS)	%R	Found (LCSD)	%R	%RPD	Limit	Limit
Nitrate: 3/3/10	10.0	10 37	103.7	10,33	103.3.	0.386	20.0	.90.0-110
Sulfate: 3/3/10	25.0	25.0	100,/	29.3	(117)	15,86	20.0	90,0-110
Chloride; 3/3/10	25.0	24,6	98	24.5	98	0.285	20.0	90,0-110

	[						RPD	Rec.
Analyte	True	Found (LCS)	%R	Found (LCSD)	%R	%RPD	Limit	Limit
Nitrate: 3/4/10	10.0	10,1	101	10.11	101.1	0.60	20.0	90.0-110
Sulfate: 3/4/10	25.0	24,3	97 V	25.1	100 🦨	3,038	20,0	90,0-110
Chloride: 3/4/10	25,0	24,0	96	24.0	96	0.000	20.0	90.0-110

RPD

out of Ŏ ]out of

outside limits 6

Spike Recovery

12 outside limits

mon

Lab Name:	Northeast Laboratory Services				
SDG No. Contractor:	Mactec				
Project No. Case No. Client No.					
Lab Code:					
Instrument ID:	DIONEX ION CHROMATOGRAPH				

Date Analyzed: Concentration Units:

DIONEX ION CHROMATOGRAPH 600 3/3/2010, 3/4/2010 mg/L

Lab Sample ID:

AM01015

Ø

2

]out of

[		Spiked				Spiked				1
		Sample				Sample			NEES	A Summer HI
		Result	Sample			Result			%RPD	Limit %
Analyte	Spike Added	(MS)	Results	Q	%R	(MSD)	%R	Limit %R	MS/MSD	RPD
Nitrate	10	9.8	0,50	U	98	9,8	98	90-110	0 00000	20.0
Sulfate	25	24.5	0.10	U	98	24.9	292	90-110	1 506	20.0
Chloride	25	27,0	5,08		(87.6*)	271	(87,9*)	90-110	0.319	20,0
Laurence and the second second second second	Landersteinen er einer ei						Constanting of the local division of the loc			

RPD

Spike Recovery

3 outside limits

out of 6 ou

6 outside limits

MAK

RP=05 Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
CFW-6	3/10/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-4	3/10/10	FS	Yes	0,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-5	3/10/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5	3/10/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5DUP	3/10/10	DU (Field)	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-1	3/10/10	FS	Yes	Ō,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-1	3/10/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-2	3/10/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-3	3/10/10	FS	Yes	0,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
Laboratory QC				audu ayaan ayaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa aha		
Blank	3/10/10	BL	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS	3/10/10	QC	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD	3/10/10	QC	Yes	Ō.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01108MS	3/10/10	SK	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01108MSD	3/10/10	SK.	Yes	Ô.K.	Yes	See attached checklist

## Chemical Oxygen Demand

### NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC ≤Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: No processing issues or missing analytes
- III.
   Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

   No processing issues or missing analytes

Page 1 of 2

- IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above): See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications
- V. Data verification calculation sheets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Buck B. Date: <u>April 2,2010</u>

Project:<u>YANKEE ROWE</u> Project #:<u>3617087152/02.01</u> Date:<u>3/30/10</u>

Sample IDs: CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, SW-3

1. Case Narrative and Data Package Completeness

OK

2. Holding Times OK

3. QC Blanks

OK

4. Initial Calibration Records NA

5. Continuing Calibration Records NA

- 6. Laboratory Control Sample Review OK
- 7. Field Duplicate Precision OK
- Oħ
- 8. Matrix Spike Results (if applicable)

0K

# Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
CFW-6	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.%.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-4	3/4/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-5	3/4/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5	3/4/10	fs	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5DUP	3/8/10	DU (Field)	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-1	3/8/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-1	3/8/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	Sec attached checklist
SW-2	3/8/10	FS	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-3	3/8/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
Laboratory QC	1					
Blank	3/4/10	BL	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS	3/4/10	QC	Yes	0.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD	3/4/10	QC	Yes	O,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01015D	3/4/10	DU	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
Blank	3/8/10	BL	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS	3/8/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD	3/8/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01105D	3/8/10	DU	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01016MS	3/8/10	SK	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist

#### Cyanide

# NOTE

- FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = 1.0 Spike
- Reported MDC ≤Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated 2.0when reported.
- Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D. 3.0
- All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No Ĭ,
- Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments: Π,

Page 1 of 2

No processing issues or missing analytes

- III.
   Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

   No processing issues or missing analytes
- IV.
   Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above):

   See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications
- V. Data verification calculation speets are attached(at least one calculation per batch) NA Reviewer Durch & Date: March 31,2010

Project: <u>YANKEE ROWE</u> Project #:<u>3617087152/02.01</u> Date:<u>3/30/10</u>

Sample IDs: CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, SW-3

1. Case Narrative and Data Package Completeness

ОK

2. Holding Times OK

3. QC Blanks OK

4. Initial Calibration Records

5. Continuing Calibration Records

6. Laboratory Control Sample Review OK

7. Field Duplicate Precision OK

8. Matrix Spike Results (if applicable) OK

P:\Projects\3617087152 = 3 Yankee GW Monitoring\3.0\_Field\_Lab\_Data\3.3\_Data\Yankee Rowe\Validation\2010\Chemistry\Cheeklists\Cyanide.doc

RP-05 Rev. 3

## ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

List each analysis individually. Use a separate table for QC. Duplicates, Blanks and Spikes. (Several pages will be required for each batch)

Sample ID	Analysis Date	Sample Designator (Note 1)	All Scheduled Analyses Performed?	Sample Processing Comments?	Units Correct?	Assessment Criteria (Note 2) (Note 3)
CFW-6	3/10/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-4	3/10/10	FS	Yes	Ô.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-5	3/10/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5	3/10/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW-5DUP	3/10/10	DU (Field)	Yes	Ô.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
CFW=1	3/10/10	FS	Yes	О.К.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-1	3/10/10	FS	Yes	0,K,	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-2	3/10/10	FS	Yes	0,K.	Yes	See attached checklist
SW-3	3/10/10	FS	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
Laboratory QC	Augusta and and and and and and and and and an					
Blank	3/10/10	BL	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS	3/10/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCSD	3/10/10	QC	Yes	O.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
LCS Second Source	3/10/10	QC	Yes	0.K.	Yes	See attached checklist
AM01108	3/10/10	DU	Yes	0, <b>K</b> ,	Yes	See attached checklist

### Total Dissolved Solids

# NOTE

- 1.0 FS = Field Sample, BL = Blank, QC = Lab Quality Control. DU = Duplicate, SK = Spike
- 2.0 Reported MDC ≤Required MDC for FS, DU, BL. Yield for all samples evaluated when reported.
- 3.0 Requirements for SK, DU, and QC per section D.
- I. All Requested analyses performed on all samples? X Yes No
- II. Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:
   <u>No processing issues or missing analytes</u>
- III.
   Resolution of Sample Processing/Missing Analytes comments:

   No processing issues or missing analytes

RP-05 Rev. 3

# ATTACHMENT C ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUALITY

IV. Resolution of Anomalies in QC, Duplicates, Spikes, or Blanks (Identified above): See attached checklist for details on sample qualifications

Data verification calculation sheets are attached (at least one calculation per batch) NA V. Reviewer Date: March 31,2010 Nal

Project: <u>YANKEE ROWE</u> Project #:<u>3617087152/02.01</u> Date:<u>3/30/10</u> Method:<u>TDS</u> Laboratory and SDG:<u>YR-101</u> Reviewer:<u>Bradley B. LaForest, NRCC-EAC</u>

Sample IDs: CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, SW-3

1. Case Narrative and Data Package Completeness

0K

2. Holding Times OK

3. QC Blanks

0K

ŇA

4. Initial Calibration Records

5. Continuing Calibration Records

6. Laboratory Control Sample Review OK

7. Field Duplicate Precision

0K

8. Laboratory Duplicate

The relative percent difference between sample SW-3 and its laboratory duplicate was greater than the laboratory QC limit of 20 for TDS (47). TDS results in associated samples CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, CFW-5, CFW-5DUP, CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, and SW-3 were qualified as estimated (J).

9. Matrix Spike Results (if applicable)

NA

P:Projects/3617087152 = 3 Yankee GW Monitoring/3.0\_Field\_Lab\_Data/3.3\_Data/Yankee Rowe/Validation/2010/Chemistry/Checklists/TDS.doc

# **Total Dissolved Solids QC Summary**

Date(s) Analyzed: 03/08/

03/08/10-03/10/10

Method:

160.1

Client Name: Mactec

NEL Sample Numbers: AM01012-16 & AM01105-08

Blank							
Sample#	Result						
100	mg/L						
Blank	1.0 U						

LCD/LCSD									
Std Tag #	True Value	Result	Recovery	Acceptance Limits					
	mg/L	mg/L	%	%					
LCD	361	334	93	80-120					
LĈSD	361	336	93	80-120					
LCS Second Source	322	368	114	80=120					

	Sample Duplicate Analysis					
Sample	SampleResult	Duplicate Result	RPD	Acceptance limit		
	mg/L	mg/L	- 4	%		
AM01108*	13.0	21,0	(47%)	20		
$(5\omega - 3)$						

\* While sample dup was out of range the LCS/D RPD was in range at 0.6%.

50

antro da	DI	ATTACHMENT D					
	1/1	EVIEW OF CHAIR OF COSTOFF AND SAMELE DOCOMENTATION					
	Sa	mpling Event Date(s) March 2010 Shipment Date 3-2-10					
	Wells Sampled in this Batch: CFW-5, CFW-6, SW-4, SW-5, TB-005						
	I.	All samples identified on COC forms? YesNo					
	II.	Samples obtained match those required by sampling plan? YesNo					
	III.	Verification of unbroken chain of custody for samples? $\nearrow$ YesNo					
	IV.	Samples received intact by laboratory? X Yes No					
	V.	Sample flush volumes and flow parameters consistent with historical data and acceptable? Yes No					
	VI.	Sample non-radiological parameters consistent with historical data and acceptable?					
	VII.	All preservative and container requirements met? YesNo					
	VIII. Samples obtained match those required by sampling plan? $\swarrow$ Yes						
	IX.	Evaluation for accepting sample for any questions I – VIII answered "NO" (indicate if resample will be done prior to shipment):					
		N					
		N					
	/	On il					
		Reviewer Date 3.29.10					
		2 coolers shipped to NEL					

ISFSI Radia	SFSI Radiation Protection RP-05 Rev. 3		
BANK NA YANG UNIN KANANANANANA KANANA KANANA KANANA KANANA	ATTACHMENT D REVIEW OF CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND SAMPLE DOCUMENTATION		
	Sampling Event Date(s) March 2010 Shipment Date 3-3-10 Wells Sampled in this Batch: CFW-1, SW-1, SW-2, SW-3, SP-1, SW-011, SW-408, MW-1014	A, TB-006	
I.	All samples identified on COC forms? $\times$ Yes No		
II.	Samples obtained match those required by sampling plan? $\times$ Yes No		
III.	Verification of unbroken chain of custody for samples?YesNo		
IV.	Samples received intact by laboratory? Yes No		
V.	Sample flush volumes and flow parameters consistent with historical data and acceptable? $\checkmark$ YesNo		
. VI.	Sample non-radiological parameters consistent with historical data and acceptable?		
VII.	. All preservative and container requirements met? X Yes No		
VIII	I. Samples obtained match those required by sampling plan? X Yes No		
IX.	Evaluation for accepting sample for any questions I – VIII answered "NO" (indicate if resample will be done prior to shipment):		
	N		
. • •	A		
	Multim Bata 329.10		
	Reviewer Date		

I coder shipped to NEL

#### Attachment 2

#### Post-Closure Soil Stability Monitoring – Settlement, Cracks and Erosion and Vegetative Cover

Monitoring of the soil stability of the SCFA and BUD Area was performed several times in 2008 and in March/April 2009. The following provides the results of the monitoring:

#### SCFA

No problems were noted with the soil stability during the post-closure monitoring of the SCFA in 2008 and 2009. No settlement, cracks or erosion was noted and the grassy cover remained intact.

#### **BUD** Area

No problems were noted with the soil stability during the post-closure monitoring of the BUD Area in 2008 and 2009. No settlement, cracks or erosion was noted and the grassy cover remained intact.

### Attachment 3

# Southeast Construction Fill Area (SCFA) Financial Assurance Mechanism (FAM) Review

As required by the SCFA Closure Certification Report Condition No. 13, the FAM for the SCFA is evaluated every two (2) years and the results reported to the DEP.

No change to the estimate for the FAM is required at this time.